

# ARIMA Time Series Modeling with the Addition of Intervention and Outlier Factors on Inflation Rate in Indonesia

#### Dewi Setyo Utami<sup>1</sup>, Nur'ainul Miftahul Huda<sup>2</sup>, Nurfitri Imro'ah<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1,3</sup>Statistics Department, Universitas Tanjungpura, Pontianak, Indonesia <sup>2</sup>Mathematics Department, Universitas Tanjungpura, Pontianak, Indonesia <u>h1091201033@student.untan.ac.id</u><sup>1</sup>, <u>nurainul@fmipa.untan.ac.id</u><sup>2</sup>, <u>nurfitriimroah@math.untan.ac.id</u><sup>3</sup>

#### ABSTRACT

Article History: Extreme events in a time series model can be detected when the precise timing of Received : 28-08-2023 the event, known as the intervention, is known. When the exact timing of an event Revised : 25-12-2023 is unknown, it is referred to as an outlier. If these factors are neglected, the model's Accepted : 26-12-2023 accuracy will be affected. To overcome this situation, it is possible to add the Online : 19-01-2024 intervention or outlier factor into the time series model. This study proposes the combination of intervention and outlier analysis in time series models, especially Keywords: ARIMA. It is intended to minimize the residuals and increase the accuracy of the ARIMA; model so that it is suitable for forecasting. Using the data of inflation rate in Inflation; Indonesia, the conflict between Russia and Ukraine was used as an intervention Economy: Forecasting; factor in this case. Pre-intervention data (before February 2022) is used to Accuracy. construct the ARIMA model (1<sup>st</sup> model). After that, the modeling process continued by adding the intervention factor to the ARIMA model. The effect caused by the intervention allows an outlier to appear, so the process is continued by adding the outlier factor, called an additive outlier(AO) and innovative outlier (IO), into the model before (2<sup>nd</sup> model). The MAPE for the first and second models is 8.26% and 7.44%, respectively. The finding of this research shows that the ARIMA model with intervention and outlier factors, named as the 2<sup>nd</sup> model, is the best model. This study shows that combining the intervention and outlier factors into ARIMA model can improve the accuracy. The forecasting of the inflation rate in Indonesia for one period ahead in 2023 is in the range of 2.06%. 00 This is an open access article under the CC-BY-SA license https://doi.org/10.31764/jtam.v8i1.17487

## A. INTRODUCTION

The ARIMA model, developed by Box and Jenkins in the 1960s, is one of the many types of time series techniques frequently used for forecasting (Zhou et al., 2023). The ARIMA model is one of the short-term time series modelling options that can be used (Alghamdi et al., 2019). The ARIMA model is a univariate time series model that ignores independent variables and only depends on the dependent variable to generate fairly accurate short-term forecasts (Mohamed, 2020). However, time series models such as this one might show limitations when dealt with with disturbances, such as noise, that result in significant fluctuations in the data (Elseidi, 2023). The occurrence of shock, characterised by noise, denotes the presence of an event known as intervention or outlier (Hasan, 2019).

In terms of time series modeling, the identification of extreme values, also known as outliers, can be identified to the influence of an event referred to as an intervention (Apostol et al., 2021). The intervention, according to the study includes a range of factors, including government policies, natural disasters, wars, and other factors where the timing of the event is known precisely (Hossein, 2021). In the analysis of time series data, a model that disregards the intervention or outlier effect might produce large error values (Schaffer et al., 2021). Hence, in order to handle the situation mentioned previously, it is necessary to add intervention and outlier factors into the time series model, rather than neglecting them. In terms of time series modeling, it is possible to add only the intervention factor or just an outlier factor.

The conflict between Russia and Ukraine in February 2022 has an impact on the economic sectors over many countries (Balbaa et al., 2022). It led to the rise of prices in various commodities, which led to a corresponding rise in the inflation rate (Junaedi, 2022). Inflation is referred to as a gradual rise in the general price level of goods and services over a period of time (Islam, 2013). Following the occurrence of the conflict, many commodity prices increased, resulting in a significant increase in the inflation rate in Indonesia (Syahtaria, 2022).

The Indonesian Ministry of Finance has announced that inflation in Indonesia for the year 2022 greater than the government's target. The government's inflation goal is set at 3%, however, the observed inflation rate from January to September 2022 has exceeded this target, reaching 4.84%. The conflict between Russia and Ukraine has been identified as a primary cause, leading to a subsequent rise in inflation in other nations, including Indonesia. The rise in the inflation rate was characterised by an escalation in the cost of many primary commodities, including oil, gas, and wheat. Low and well-controlled inflation can help people keep their buying power (Yu, 2023). Unstable inflation causes challenges for businesses in terms of planning their activities, including production, investment, and pricing of goods and services (Nugraha et al., 2023). Hence, it is crucial to predict the inflation rate in order for society and the government to anticipate the increase of prices in various commodities. This study aims to combine intervention and outlier factors, it is expected to bring out the best model with good accuracy for forecasting. A related study by Mahkya & Anggraini (2020) determined that the model with intervention and outlier factors is the best one.

### **B. METHODS**

This Study uses monthly data on inflation rate in Indonesia from 2018 until 2023 obtained from official website of Bank Indonesia. This research using ARIMA model with single input intervention and outlier added to the model.

## 1. Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA)

The Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) model is a type of ARMA(p, q) model that includes a non-stationary assumption. This non-stationarity is solved by applying a differencing process, repeated d times, until the data meet the stationarity assumption (Moghimi et al., 2023). The model used to write as ARIMA(p, d, q) where p denotes the autoregressive process, d represents the number of differencing and q means the moving average process order (Mahia et al., 2019). The ARIMA(p, d, q) model can be expressed as

$$\phi_p(B)(1-B)^d Y_t = \theta_q(B)e_t \tag{1}$$

where  $\phi_p(B) = (1 - \phi_1 B - \phi_2 B^2 - \dots - \phi_p B^p)$  ( $\phi_p$  is *p*- parameter for the autoregressive process);  $\theta_q(B) = (1 - \theta_1 B - \theta_2 B^2 - \dots - \theta_q B^q)$  ( $\theta_q$  is *q*- parameter for moving average process); *B* is backshift operator;  $e_t$  is error at time *t* which normally distributed with zero mean and  $\sigma_e^2$  variance ( $e_t \sim N(0, \sigma_e^2)$ ;  $Y_t$  is the value of Y at time *t*. The process of time series modeling using an ARIMA involves a sequential three-step procedure (Awe et al., 2020).

- 1. The identification of models can be obtained through the sample Autocorrelation Function (ACF) and Partial Autocorrelation Function (PACF) plots to identify the alternative process (AR, MA, ARMA).
- 2. The process of parameter estimation uses the likelihood method to estimate the parameters for a tentatively chosen model.
- 3. The process to check the diagnostic test by using the residual from the tentative model that had been obtained.

After getting through of this sequence of modeling, the final model can be used for the purpose of forecasting.

### 2. Intervention Analysis

In time series, it is common to find events for which the exact timing is known, called intervention (Lopez Bernal et al., 2018). There are typically two types of intervention variables, specifically the step function and the pulse function (Guimarães & da Silva, 2019). These variables have only the values 0 and 1 to represent the non-occurrence and the occurence of an intervention (Buckley et al., 2020). The step function is a representation of an intervention that takes place at time T and is assumed to have long-term (permanent) effects (Ilmiah & Oktora, 2021). The intervention at time T with a step function at time t ( $S_t^{(T)}$ ) can be written as

$$S_t^{(T)} = \begin{cases} 0, & t < T \\ 1, & t \ge T \end{cases}$$
(2)

In addition, the pulse function represents a short-term (temporary) effect on the intervention at time *T* (Vadrevu et al., 2020). The intervention at time *T* with a pulse function at time  $t(P_t^{(T)})$  can be written as

$$P_t^{(T)} = \begin{cases} 0, & t \neq T \\ 1, & t = T \end{cases}$$
(3)

Let  $Z_t$  denote a time series with a single intervention, the general model can be expressed as follows (Damian Adubisi et al., 2015).

$$Z_t = m_t + N_t \tag{4}$$

where  $Z_t$  is responses variable at time t;  $N_t$  is time series at time t with no assumption of intervention (ARIMA before intervention); and  $m_t$  is the effect of the intervention at time t. The

permanent effect and gradual effect of intervention can be written respectively as (Dewi et al., 2023).

$$m_{t} = \begin{cases} \omega P_{t}^{(T)}, \text{ for pulse function} \\ \omega S_{t}^{(T)}, \text{ for step function} \end{cases} \text{ and } m_{t} = \begin{cases} \frac{\omega B}{1 - \delta B} P_{t}^{(T)}, \text{ for pulse function} \\ \frac{\omega B}{1 - \delta B} S_{t}^{(T)}, \text{ for step function} \end{cases}$$

#### 3. Outliers

In time series, there are some types of outliers, two of them namely Additive Outlier (AO) and Innovative Outlier (IO) (Maqsood et al., 2019). The Figure 1 illustrates the presence of the outliers.



Figure 1. Types of outliers, (a) Additive Outlier, (b) Innovative Outlier

A0 refers to an outlier that causes an impact only at a specific time point, denoted as *T*, with a value represented by  $\omega$  (Huda et al., 2022). The model including A0 ( $Z_t$ ), can be written as follows

$$Z_t = Y_t + \omega I_t^{(T)} \tag{5}$$

where  $Y_t$  is an ARIMA model without outlier factor and  $I_t^{(T)} = \begin{cases} 1, t \neq T \\ 0, t = T \end{cases}$ . While IO gives impact to the entire observation,  $Y_T, Y_{t+1}, \dots$  beyond time T (Huda et al., 2020). The model with IO factor  $(Z_t)$  can be written as

$$Z_t = Y_t + \frac{\theta(B)}{\phi(B)} I_t^{(T)} \tag{6}$$

A general time series model that has more than one outlier can be expressed as (Mukhaiyar et al., 2019):

$$Z_t = \sum_{j=1}^k \omega_j v_j(B) I_t^{(T_j)} + Y_t$$
(7)

where  $Y_t$  is an ARIMA model without outlier factors;  $\omega_j$  is *j*-outlier effect and

$$v_j(B) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } AO \\ \frac{\theta(B)}{\phi(B)}, \text{for } IO \end{cases}$$

Study research by Mukhaiyar et al. (2021) using outlier factors to be added into time series model and shows that ARIMA with outlier factor better than ARIMA without outlier factor.

#### 4. Modeling Procedure

The research started its data analysis process by constructing an ARIMA model that used pre-intervention data. Following the selection of the best ARIMA model, the subsequent step meant adding the intervention factor into the ARIMA model before intervention. After that, the process is continued by checking the residual diagnostic test and detection outliers. The detection of outliers is conducted in cases where the ARIMA intervention model fails to fulfill the assumption of independent and normally distributed residuals (Laome et al., 2021). After combining the intervention and outlier factors to be added into ARIMA model, the final step is calculating the MAPE and forecasting. This modeling process is illustrated in Figure 2.



Figure 2. Flowchart of ARIMA modeling with Intervention and Outlier Factors

## C. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The data used for this research consists of the inflation rate monthly in Indonesia from January 2015 to September 2023, including a total of 105 observations. The data was sourced from the official website of Bank Indonesia. The data is divided into two parts, an in-sample dataset including 100 observations (January 2015-April 2023) used to construct and evaluate the model, while the remaining 5 observations is out-sample data (Mei-September 2023) used to evaluate the forecasting result. Figure 3 shows the data plot of and the boxplot of the inflation rate in Indonesia. The red bullet (February 2022) represents an intervention time in the event of a conflict between Russia and Ukraine.



Figure 3. Inflation Rate in Indonesia Plot

	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Variance	Standard Deviation
Pre-Intervention	1.32	7.26	3.33	2.36	1.53
Post-Intervention	2.64	5.95	4.76	0.99	0.99

Since the intervention has occurred, Table 1 shows that the inflation rate in Indonesia has increased, indicating that the intervention has had an impact on inflation. Based on the boxplot in Figure 3, it can be seen there are 4 observations detected as the outliers. Furthermore, this can be an early indication of outlier factor in ARIMA time series model.

## 1. ARIMA Modelling before Intervention

The data utilized for constructing the ARIMA model consists of pre-intervention data. Before constructing the ARIMA model, it is required to assess the stationarity of the data in relation to both its mean and variance. The assessment of stationarity at the mean can be performed either through a visual inspection or by using the Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test. The ADF test result reveals the p-value used to assess stationarity at means, as displayed in Table 2.

<b>Table 2.</b> Stationarity Test at Mean			
	p-value	Decision	
Before differencing	0.117	Non-Stationary	
1 <sup>st</sup> Differencing	0.010	Stationary	

Based on Table 2, the data is stationary at mean after the first differencing (d=1) when the *p*-value is below the 5% significance level. After that, the stationarity at variance can be evaluated using the rounded value ( $\lambda$ ), and the result indicates that  $\lambda$ =1.13 which is closed to one. Resulting in that the data must be stationary at variance and means. Then, the utilisation of ACF and PACF plots for the purpose of identifying the ARIMA orders is illustrated in Figure 4.



Figure 4. ACF and PACF Plots from Pre-Intervention Data

Based on Figure 4, the tentative ARIMA models before intervention are presented in Table 3. Following that, the initial models will be tested for residual diagnostics in order to verify the white noise assumption. The Ljung-Box test is used to fulfill the residual independence assumption, while the Shapiro test is used to examine the residual normality assumption, as shown in Table 3.

Table 3. ARIMA Tentative Models							
			Model Accuracy			<b>Residuals Dia</b>	gnostic Test
Model	Parar	neter	AIC	MAPE	RMSE	Ljung-Box Test	Shapiro Test
ARIMA(0,1,1)	$ heta_1$	0.29	66.50	7.84%	0.34	0.61	0.0005
ARIMA(1,1,0)	$\phi_1$	0.25	67.74	7.89%	0.35	0.46	0.0006
ARIMA(1,1,1)	$ heta_1$	0.32	68.49	7.85%	0.34	0.52	0.0004
ΑΛΙΜΑ(1,1,1)	$\phi_1$	-0.03	00.49	7.05%	0.54	0.52	0.0004

Table 3 shows the parameter estimation for all tentative models and the residual diagnostic test. From Table 3, all of the models fulfill the white noise assumption. Subsequently, the selection of the best model was determined by evaluating the criteria of the lowest values for AIC, MAPE, and RMSE. As a result, ARIMA(0,1,1) is the best model for ARIMA before intervention. The model of ARIMA after the parameter was estimated from Table 3 can be expressed based on equation (1) as

$$Y_t = Y_{t-1} - 0.29e_{t-1} + e_t \tag{8}$$

### 2. ARIMA Modelling with Intervention Factor

This study used the pulse function as an intervention factor, as it is predicted that the intervention has a temporary effect on the inflation rate in Indonesia. The intervention factor will be added into the ARIMA model that was obtained before in equation 8. The ARIMA + Intervention (T=86) model can be expressed in the form that follows.

$$Y_t = (1+\delta_1)Y_{t-1} - \delta_1Y_{t-2} - (\theta_1 + \delta_1)e_{t-1} + \delta_1\theta_1e_{t-2} + \omega_1P_t^{(86)} + e_t$$
(9)

After that, the parameter in equation (9) will be estimated which the results showed in Table 4.

<b>Table 4.</b> Parameter Estimation ARIMA(0,1,1) with Intervention Factor at T=86				
Parameter	$\boldsymbol{\theta_1}$	ω1	$\delta_1$	
Estimation Results	0.22	-0.48	0.56	

So that the equation (9) can be written as

$$Y_t = 1.56Y_{t-1} - 0.56Y_{t-2} - 0.78e_{t-1} + 0.12e_{t-2} - 0.48P_t^{(86)} + e_t$$
(10)

where

$$P_t^{(86)} = \begin{cases} 1, & t = 86\\ 0, & t \neq 86 \end{cases}$$

Figure 5 shows the effect of the intervention at time *t* 



Figure 5. The Effect of The Intervention

The results found that the effect of the intervention shown in Figure 5 indicates a gradual decrease or convergence to zero. The analysis shows that the current war between Russia and Ukraine does not have a significant long-term effect on the inflation rate in Indonesia. Subsequently, the residual from the model (10) will be checked in diagnostic test (independency and normality of residual). The results show that the *p*-value for residual independence is 0.68 and *p*-value for residual normality is 0,001. The ARIMA model, which includes an intervention factor, fails to fulfill the assumption of normality. The issue is assumed to be caused by data outliers (Laome et al., 2021). Consequently, the process continues with the detection of the outlier based on the residual of ARIMA with intervention model.

### 3. ARIMA Modelling with Intervention and Outlier Factors

The identification of outliers using iterative process until no outlier detected (see Figure 2). The results indicate there are no more outlier detected until the 4<sup>th</sup> iteration. The outlier factors will be added to the previously obtained ARIMA intervention model. The ARIMA intervention

model, which contains the addition of an outlier factors, can be expressed in the form that follows.

$$Y_{t} = (1 + \delta_{1})Y_{t-1} - \delta_{1}Y_{t-2} - (\theta_{1} + \delta_{1})e_{t-1} + \delta_{1}\theta_{1}e_{t-2} + \omega P_{t}^{(86)} + \omega_{1}I_{t}^{(11)} + \omega_{2}I_{t}^{(12)} + \omega_{3}I_{t}^{(93)} + \omega_{4}I_{t}^{(13)} - \theta_{1}\omega_{4}I_{t-1}^{(13)} + e_{t}$$
(11)

The process is continued by estimating the parameter at (11) which the results showed in Table 5.

Parameter	Туре	Estimate	
$\theta_1$	MA(1)	0.11	
ω	Intervention Parameter	-0.50	
$\delta_1$	Intervention Parameter	0.52	
$\omega_1$	Additive Outlier (T=11)	-1.24	
ω2	Additive Outlier (T=12)	-2.71	
ω3	Additive Outlier (T=93)	0.75	
$\omega_4$	Innovative Outlier (T=13)	-1.92	

Based on Table 5, the equation in (11) will be written as follows

$$Y_{t} = 1.52Y_{t-1} - 0.52Y_{t-2} - 0.63e_{t-1} + 0.06e_{t-2} - 0.5P_{t}^{(86)} - 1.24I_{t}^{(11)} - 2.71I_{t}^{(12)} + 0.75I_{t}^{(93)} - 1.92I_{t}^{(13)} + 0.21I_{t-1}^{(13)} + e_{t}$$
(12)

where  $P_t^{(86)} = \begin{cases} 1, t = 86 \\ 0, t \neq 86 \end{cases}$ ,  $I_t^{(11)} = \begin{cases} 1, t = 11 \\ 0, t \neq 11 \end{cases}$ ,  $I_t^{(12)} = \begin{cases} 1, t = 12 \\ 0, t \neq 12 \end{cases}$ ,  $I_t^{(93)} = \begin{cases} 1, t = 93 \\ 0, t \neq 93 \end{cases}$ , and  $I_t^{(13)} = \begin{cases} 1, t = 13 \\ 0, t \neq 13 \end{cases}$ 

The final step is to check the obedience of the residual diagnostic test to the white noise assumption through the use of the Ljung-Box Test and Shapiro Test. The result that the p-value is 0.21 and 0.99, respectively which greater than 0.05 that implies the ARIMA (0,1,1) + Intervention(T=86) + Outlier factors satisfy the conditions of residual independence and normality. It also seems that adding the outlier factor can solve the problem related to the model's normality residual assumption.

#### 4. Comparison and Prediction of The Models

The comparison of the ARIMA model and ARIMA model with intervention and outlier factors is shown in Table 6.

Model	In-Sample			
Model	MAPE RMSE		AIC	
ARIMA(0,1,1) [1 <sup>st</sup> model]	8.26%	0.388	98.68	
ARIMA(0,1,1)+Int(86)+A0(11,12,93)+I0(13) [2 <sup>nd</sup> model]	7.44%	0.305	60.97	

Table 6. Comparison of the Accuracy of Time Series Model

According to the results shown in Table 6, it can be seen that the ARIMA model, which consists of intervention and outlier factors, has the lowest levels of MAPE, RMSE, and AIC value. Before forecasting the inflation rate in Indonesia for one period ahead, model (12) was used to predict the out-of-sample data in order to evaluate the accuracy of the forecasting result based on constructed model. Based on the out-sample data, the model points out a MAPE of 35%, indicating a reasonable level of accuracy in forecasting the data. Figure 6 illustrates the comparison between the observed data (blue line) and the estimated values obtained from the time series model (orange line). It can be concluded that the fitted values have the same pattern as the actual data. The predicted inflation rate for Indonesia in the upcoming period of October 2023 will likely be within the range of 2.06%, as shown in Figure 6.



Figure 6. Actual vs Fitted Values of ARIMA Model with Intervention and Outlier Factors

## D. CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS

Based on the findings of the study, it can be concluded that the intervention in the conflict between Russia and Ukraine has had an effect of the increase on inflation rate in Indonesia, while its effect gradually decreases over the time. In addition to this, the occurrence of conflict between Russia and Ukraine has resulted in the identification of an extreme value as an outlier in the inflation rate of Indonesia. This study points out that combining intervention and outlier factors into the ARIMA model can enhance its accuracy compared to the ARIMA model without such factors. The projected inflation rate for October 2023 in Indonesia is in the range of 2.06%. This research is limited by only focusing on a single intervention with two type outliers to be added into ARIMA model. So, it is recommended to add more than one intervention factors (multi-intervention) in the further research.

### REFERENCES

Alghamdi, T., Elgazzar, K., Bayoumi, M., Sharaf, T., & Shah, S. (2019). Forecasting Traffic Congestion Using ARIMA Modeling. 2019 15th International Wireless Communications & Mobile Computing Conference (IWCMC), 1227–1232. https://doi.org/10.1109/IWCMC.2019.8766698

Apostol, E.-S., Truică, C.-O., Pop, F., & Esposito, C. (2021). Change Point Enhanced Anomaly Detection for IoT Time Series Data. *Water*, *13*(12), 1633. https://doi.org/10.3390/w13121633

- Awe, O., Okeyinka, A., & Fatokun, J. O. (2020). An Alternative Algorithm for ARIMA Model Selection. 2020 International Conference in Mathematics, Computer Engineering and Computer Science (ICMCECS), 1–4. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICMCECS47690.2020.246979
- Balbaa, M., Balbaa, M. E., Eshov, M., & Ismailova, N. (2022). *The Impacts of Russian-Ukrainian War on the Global Economy*. https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.14965.24807
- Buckley, J., Fountain, J., Meuse, S., Whelan, C., Maguire, H., Harper, J. M., & Luiselli, J. K. (2020). Performance Improvement of Care Providers in a Child Services Setting: Effects of an Incentive-Based Negative Reinforcement Intervention on Data Recording. *Child & Family Behavior Therapy*, 42(2), 125–133. https://doi.org/10.1080/07317107.2020.1738733
- Damian Adubisi, O., Jolayemi, E., & Adubisi, O. (2015). Estimating the Impact on the Nigeria Crude Oil Export from 2002 to 2013. (An Arima-Intervention Analysis). *International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research*, 6(10), 878–886. http://www.ijser.org
- Dewi, D. M., Ferrandy, A., Nafi, M. Z., & Nasrudin, N. (2023). The Impact of Covid-19 on Gold Price in Indonesia Using ARIMA Intervention. *Journal of Business and Political Economy : Biannual Review of The Indonesian Economy*, 2(2), 113–130. https://doi.org/10.46851/68
- Elseidi, M. (2023). A hybrid Facebook Prophet-ARIMA framework for forecasting high-frequency temperature data. *Modeling Earth Systems and Environment*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40808-023-01874-4
- Guimarães, A. G., & da Silva, A. R. (2019). Impact of regulations to control alcohol consumption by drivers: An assessment of reduction in fatal traffic accident numbers in the Federal District, Brazil. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, *127*, 110–117. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2019.01.017
- Hasan, E. A. (2019). A Method for Detection of Outliers in Time Series Data. *International Journal of Chemistry, Mathematics and Physics, 3*(3), 56–66. https://doi.org/10.22161/ijcmp.3.3.2
- Hossein, S. (2021). Financial Sanctions and Economic Growth: An Intervention Time-series Approach. *International Economics Studies*, *51*(1), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.22108/IES.2020.122915.1083
- Huda, N. M., Mukhaiyar, U., & Imro'ah, N. (2022). An Iterative Procedure For Outlier Detection In Gstar(1;1) MODEL. BAREKENG: Jurnal Ilmu Matematika Dan Terapan, 16(3), 975–984. https://doi.org/10.30598/barekengvol16iss3pp975-984
- Huda, N. M., Mukhaiyar, U., & Pasaribu, U. S. (2020). Forecasting dengue fever cases using autoregressive distributed lag model with outlier factor. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, *2268*. https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0018450
- Ilmiah, R. D., & Oktora, S. I. (2021). ARIMA Intervention Model for Measuring the Impact of the Lobster Seeds Fishing and Export Ban Policy on the Indonesian Lobster Export. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 2123(1), 012011. https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/2123/1/012011
- Islam, Md. A. (2013). Impact of Inflation on Import: An Empirical Study. International Journal of Economics, Finance and Management Sciences, 1(6), 299. https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ijefm.20130106.16
- Junaedi, J. (2022). The Impact of the Russia-Ukraine War on the Indonesian Economy. *Journal of Social Commerce*, *2*(2), 71–81. https://doi.org/10.56209/jommerce.v2i2.29
- Laome, L., Adhi Wibawa, G. N., Raya, R., Makkulau, & Asbahuna, A. R. (2021). Forecasting time series data containing outliers with the ARIMA additive outlier method. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1899(1), 012106. https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1899/1/012106
- Lopez Bernal, J., Soumerai, S., & Gasparrini, A. (2018). A methodological framework for model selection in interrupted time series studies. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, *103*, 82–91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2018.05.026
- Lukman Nugraha, A., Janwari, Y., Anton Athoillah, M., Mulyawan, S., & Islam Negri Sunan Gunung Djati, U. (2023). Inflation And Monetary Policy: Bank Indonesia's Role in Suppressing the Inflation Rate of Islamic Economic Objectives. *Islamic Economics and Business Review*, 2(1), 70–82. https://ejournal.upnvj.ac.id/iesbir/article/view/5697
- Mahia, F., Dey, A. R., Masud, M. A., & Mahmud, M. S. (2019). Forecasting Electricity Consumption using ARIMA Model. 2019 International Conference on Sustainable Technologies for Industry 4.0 (STI), 1– 6. https://doi.org/10.1109/STI47673.2019.9068076
- Mahkya, D. Al, & Anggraini, D. (2020). Forecasting the Number of Passengers from Bakauheni Port during the Sunda Strait Tsunami Period Using Intervention Analysis Approach and Outlier

Detection. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 537(1), 012009. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/537/1/012009

- Maqsood, A., Burney, S. M. A., Safdar, S., & Jilani, T. (2019). Outlier Detection In Linear Time Series Regression Models. *Advances and Applications in Statistics*. https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.12962.89289
- Moghimi, B., Kamga, C., Safikhani, A., Mudigonda, S., & Vicuna, P. (2023). Non-Stationary Time Series Model for Station-Based Subway Ridership During COVID-19 Pandemic: Case Study of New York City. *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, *2677*(4), 463– 477. https://doi.org/10.1177/03611981221084698
- Mohamad Ikhwan Syahtaria. (2022). Strategic review of the impact of the Russia-Ukraine war on Indonesian national economy. *Global Journal of Engineering and Technology Advances*, *12*(3), 001–008. https://doi.org/10.30574/gjeta.2022.12.3.0148
- Mohamed, J. (2020). Time Series Modeling and Forecasting of Somaliland Consumer Price Index: A Comparison of ARIMA and Regression with ARIMA Errors. *American Journal of Theoretical and Applied Statistics*, 9(4), 143. https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ajtas.20200904.18
- Mukhaiyar, U., Huda, N. M., Novita Sari, R. K., & Pasaribu, U. S. (2019). Modeling Dengue Fever Cases by Using GSTAR(1;1) Model with Outlier Factor. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1366(1), 012122. https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1366/1/012122
- Mukhaiyar, U., Yudistira, D., Indratno, S. W., & Yaacob, W. F. W. (2021). The Modelling of Heteroscedastics IDR-USD Exchange Rate with Intervention and Outlier Factors. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2084*(1), 012002. https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/2084/1/012002
- Nasution, A. S., & Wulansari, I. Y. (2019). Analyzing Impacts of Renewable Energy Directive (RED) on Crude Palm Oil (CPO) Export and Forecasting CPO Export from Indonesia to European Union (EU) for 2019-2020 Using ARIMA Intervention Analysis. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Trade 2019 (ICOT 2019)*. https://doi.org/10.2991/icot-19.2019.28
- Schaffer, A. L., Dobbins, T. A., & Pearson, S. A. (2021). Interrupted time series analysis using autoregressive integrated moving average (ARIMA) models: a guide for evaluating large-scale health interventions. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 21(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12874-021-01235-8
- Vadrevu, K. P., Eaturu, A., Biswas, S., Lasko, K., Sahu, S., Garg, J. K., & Justice, C. (2020). Spatial and temporal variations of air pollution over 41 cities of India during the COVID-19 lockdown period. *Scientific Reports*, 10(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-72271-5
- Yu, C. (2023). The Change in Inflation Expectation During and Post the Pandemic in European Region. *Highlights in Business, Economics and Management, 11,* 236–240. https://doi.org/10.54097/hbem.v11i.8104
- Zhou, Q., Hu, J., Hu, W., Li, H., & Lin, G. (2023). Interrupted time series analysis using the ARIMA model of the impact of COVID-19 on the incidence rate of notifiable communicable diseases in China. *BMC Infectious Diseases*, *23*(1), 375. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-023-08229-5