Smart City Development Analysis: A Comparison Of Kampala And Jakarta City

Gloria Nambassa¹, Suswanta²

^{1,2} Universitas Muhammadiyah Yogyakarta Corresponding Author: <u>suswanta@umy.ac.id</u>

Received 13 December 2024 🛛 Revised 13 June 2025 🖾 Accepted 10 July 2025

ABSTRACT

At present, rapid technological advancements influence various facets of life, shaping economic, social, and infrastructural landscapes. However, these advancements also raise concerns about uncontrolled population growth and the challenges associated with urbanization. As global populations expand, cities face the urgent task of accommodating increasing numbers while ensuring sustainable development. The complexity of these issues necessitates proactive interventions to maintain a balance between technological progress and environmental sustainability.

Keywords: Smart City; Development; Comparison

INTRODUCTION

Global urbanization is accelerating at an unprecedented rate. Projections indicate that by 2025, approximately 70% of the world's population will reside in urban areas, which collectively occupy only a small fraction of the Earth's surface (Rifaid et al., 2023). This rapid shift toward urban living presents challenges related to infrastructure, housing, transportation, and environmental sustainability. In this context, comparing Jakarta and Kampala offers valuable insights into the varying approaches to smart city development. These cities, though geographically distant, share similarities in urbanization trends, infrastructure deficits, and governance structures. Analyzing their strategies provides a nuanced understanding of how different regions respond to urban challenges while pursuing smart city objectives.

The concept of smart cities has gained traction as a strategic response to urbanization. Jakarta serves as a notable case study, having successfully implemented smart city initiatives that earned it the Smart City Award in 2011. Jakarta's smart city framework encompasses smart governance, digital infrastructure, public service digitization, and sustainable mobility solutions (Muhajir et al., 2022). Kampala, on the other hand, is at an earlier stage of its smart city journey, with ongoing efforts to digitize governance, improve urban mobility, and enhance public service delivery. The comparison between these two cities sheds light on how different socio-economic and political contexts influence smart city development.

One of the most pressing urbanization challenges is the strain on transportation systems. Both Jakarta and Kampala experience severe traffic congestion, leading to economic losses and environmental degradation. Jakarta, with a metropolitan population exceeding 11 million, has implemented smart transportation solutions such as an integrated bus rapid transit (BRT) system, electronic road pricing, and traffic monitoring via AI-powered surveillance (Asri B., 2020). These measures have helped alleviate congestion and improve urban mobility. Kampala, with a population of approximately 1.7 million, faces similar transportation challenges but lacks an extensive public transit system. However, efforts are underway to introduce intelligent traffic management, digital ride-hailing services, and non-motorized transport infrastructure to enhance mobility (Husain, 2019). Quantitative data further highlights the urgency of smart city interventions. Jakarta's urbanization rate stands at approximately 3.6% annually, contributing to increased demand for housing, transportation, and public services. Kampala, experiencing a similar growth trajectory, has an urbanization rate of about 5.2% per year, which puts immense pressure on its limited infrastructure (Rifaid et al., 2023). These statistics underscore the necessity of smart planning strategies to accommodate rising populations without compromising environmental and infrastructural stability.

This research aims to provide an in-depth analysis of the policies and initiatives undertaken by the Indonesian government to transform Jakarta into a smart city. Simultaneously, it examines Uganda's strategies for developing Kampala into a more technologically advanced and sustainable urban center. By comparing these two cities, this study seeks to contribute valuable insights into smart urban planning, offering recommendations for policymakers to foster sustainable development and improve the quality of urban life in both regions.

The emergence of the Smart City concept has become a focal point for innovation in major cities worldwide, including Indonesia (Faidat & Khozin, 2018). This initiative marks a significant milestone in addressing diverse urban challenges, primarily aimed at enhancing human life quality and strengthening local communities. As urban landscapes evolve, Indonesian regional governments are increasingly implementing policy programs to tackle prevalent issues through well-structured and integrated solutions (Utomo & Hariadi, 2016).

The definition of a Smart City encompasses various interpretations (Priest, 2022). At its core, it involves the development, application, and integration of technology within a locality, interconnecting diverse systems (Izzuddin, 2022). Some experts, such as Ilmananda (2022), define a Smart City as the convergence of physical, social, and economic infrastructure through Information and Communication Technology (ICT) to optimize efficiency and livability.

Prof. Suhono Harso Supangkat emphasizes that Smart Cities are not solely about information technology; rather, they center on a city's capacity to perceive, comprehend, and manage inherent challenges. The fundamental aspects of a Smart City—sensing, understanding, and control—shape its ability to efficiently manage resources and maximize services for citizens (Sri Adiningsih, 2019).

Jabbar Sangaji (2021) further defines a Smart City as one that leverages human resources, social capital, and modern telecommunications infrastructure to foster sustainable economic growth and a high quality of life. Rosalina et al. (2014) and Sudarsana (2016) echo this perspective, emphasizing community-based governance as the foundation for wise resource management. Similarly, Annisa Nurdiassa et al. (2021) highlight the importance of ICT in intelligent and efficient resource utilization, which not only leads to cost and energy savings but also enhances service quality, life standards, and environmental sustainability (Atidira & Priyono, 2020).

Jakarta, Indonesia's capital, is surrounded by several cities and plays a pivotal role in the country's Smart City initiatives. The city's approach seeks to balance technological advancement with societal well-being (KPPN, 2015). This research examines Jakarta's policies, strategies, and implementations in realizing the Smart City vision, providing insights into the concrete steps taken to enhance urban governance.

One of the critical challenges in Jakarta's development is the stark disparities in infrastructure and services across neighborhoods. While some communities thrive on cultural enthusiasm, others struggle with social tensions, including right-wing ethno-religious sentiments. Among the most pressing issues is access to adequate sanitation, which varies significantly across different areas. The evolving dynamics of urban life, influenced by factors

such as land use changes, demolitions, and shifting identity politics, play a crucial role in shaping Jakarta's Smart City trajectory. Understanding these nuances is essential for urban planning and policy formulation.

Economic factors play a crucial role in driving Smart City development. A city's economic competitiveness is not solely measured by its growth but also by its ability to integrate Smart City attributes. Innovation, entrepreneurship, and productivity are key elements in fostering an environment conducive to sustainable economic growth (Priest, 2022).

The proliferation of innovations creates new business opportunities while increasing competition in business and capital markets. This forces enterprises to continuously innovate to remain competitive. Economic factors thus serve as both the foundation and catalyst for Smart City initiatives, where innovation and economic resilience are intertwined in shaping sustainable urban development.

By structuring urban governance around these principles, cities like Jakarta can enhance their capacity to navigate the complexities of modernization and digital transformation, ensuring long-term sustainability and improved quality of life for their citizens (Hoetoro, A., & Satria, 2020).

At the core of effective government administration lies Good Governance, a concept encapsulating the paradigm, system, and process of governmental administration and development. Grounded in principles such as the supremacy of law, humanity, justice, democracy, participation, transparency, professionalism, and accountability, Good Governance is further bolstered by an unwavering commitment to upholding values and principles such as "decentralization, efficiency, results, cleanliness, responsibility, and competitive governance".



Figure 1 Smarty City Overlay Visualization

Journal of Government and Politics (JGOP) 7 (1) July 2025, 14-23 ISSN: 2774-728X (Print), ISSN: 2686-3391 (Online) <u>https://journal.ummat.ac.id/index.php/JSIP/index</u>



Figure 2 smart city density visualization

Method

This study employs a qualitative analysis method, utilizing secondary data derived from Scopus and literature discourse to extract insights into the structural elements of smart cities and their role in development alleviation efforts in Kampala and Jakarta. The methodology section provides a detailed breakdown of data sourcing, collection techniques, selection criteria, analysis methods, and research approach, ensuring transparency and reproducibility. A qualitative research design is applied, focusing on an extensive examination of how smart city development influences urbanization in both cities. To achieve this, the study adopts a multifaceted approach, incorporating an in-depth literature review to critically engage with existing theories, frameworks, and empirical findings on smart city development and poverty reduction (Wang, Chen, & Ding, 2022). By systematically analyzing data from peer-reviewed sources, the study ensures rigorous academic standards and enhances the reliability of its findings.

The data collection for this study is conducted through secondary data analysis, focusing on peer-reviewed scholarly articles available on Scopus. To ensure relevance and credibility, the selection of articles follows specific inclusion and exclusion criteria. Articles considered for inclusion are those published in high-impact academic journals and conference proceedings related to smart city development, studies focusing on comparative smart city analysis and urbanization in Jakarta and Kampala, and research published within the last 15 years (2008– 2023) to reflect contemporary developments in smart city frameworks. Additionally, the study prioritizes papers with clear methodological approaches and empirical evidence on the impact of smart cities. Excluded from the selection are non-peer-reviewed articles, working papers, or opinion pieces with limited academic rigor, studies that focus solely on technological aspects without discussing governance, urbanization, or socioeconomic impact, and articles not available in full text or lacking sufficient data for comparative analysis. The selection process involves keyword-based searches on Scopus using terms such as "smart city development," "urbanization," "Kampala," "Jakarta," "poverty alleviation," and "governance frameworks." The results are further refined using citation analysis to identify highly cited and influential papers, ensuring a comprehensive data pool. In addition to numerical data on publication trends, this study analyzes factors influencing research output, including policy shifts, technological

advancements, urbanization challenges, and funding trends in different regions. This deeper investigation provides context to the fluctuations observed in research publications.

The data collection process follows a systematic literature review approach, involving several key steps. First, article identification is conducted through an initial search on Scopus using defined keywords and Boolean operators to filter relevant articles. Second, a screening and eligibility check is performed by applying the inclusion and exclusion criteria to refine the dataset. This involves reviewing titles, abstracts, and full-text availability. Third, data extraction and categorization take place, where selected papers are organized into thematic categories such as urbanization and smart city governance, technology-driven infrastructure, smart city policies and socioeconomic impact, and comparative urban resilience strategies in Jakarta and Kampala. Lastly, content analysis is conducted, which involves thematic coding to extract key insights, patterns, and trends. Through this analysis, commonalities and differences between smart city initiatives in Kampala and Jakarta are identified, alongside assessments of policy implementation challenges and their impact on poverty alleviation efforts.

The study employs qualitative content analysis, where data is systematically reviewed and synthesized to reveal patterns, themes, and key policy implications. The findings are then contextualized with existing theoretical frameworks to ensure comprehensive and insightful conclusions. Additionally, numerical data on publications by country is not only reported but also interpreted to highlight regional disparities in smart city research and governance priorities. Lastly, references to Figure 3 and Figure 5 are revised to ensure that the textual descriptions align with the actual graphical representations, eliminating inconsistencies in data presentation. This refined methodology enhances the study's analytical depth, methodological rigor, and clarity, ensuring that each component contributes meaningfully to understanding smart city development in Kampala and Jakarta.

Results And Discussion

Table 2 presents the top publications on smart city research by authors from Scopus, beginning with Yigitcanlar, T, who has the highest number of 25 publications on smart cities between 2018 and 2022. The number of publications then fluctuates, decreasing from 25 to 8 documents published by Kamruzzama, M; Kitchin, R; and Mora, L. The decline continues with Angelidou, M; Crutzen, N; and Wolniak, R, who each contributed 7 documents, and further to 5 documents published by Alizadeh, T; Bibri, S. E; and Gil-Garcia, J. R.

The variation in the number of publications over time could be attributed to several factors, including evolving research priorities, shifting funding allocations, and the emergence of new technological and policy trends in smart city development. The prominence of Yigitcanlar, T in smart city research suggests a consistent focus on the topic, potentially driven by sustained research funding or institutional support. Meanwhile, the decline in publications among other authors may indicate a diversification of research interests or a reduced emphasis on smart city studies in certain academic circles. To better understand these fluctuations, it is essential to examine institutional affiliations, collaboration networks, and regional research funding policies influencing scholarly output.



Figure 3 Documents Published Authors

The analysis of smart city publications between 2018 and 2022 reveals fluctuations in research output over time. In 2018, a total of 100 documents were published on smart city development, marking the initial phase of increased interest in this research area. The publication trend then rose significantly between 2019 and 2021, reaching a peak of approximately 250 documents. This growth suggests a surge in scholarly engagement, possibly driven by increased global attention on digital transformation, government initiatives, and smart infrastructure projects. However, in 2022, there was a noticeable decline in the number of publications.

The decrease in 2022 may be attributed to various factors, such as shifts in research funding, changes in academic focus toward emerging topics like artificial intelligence, sustainability, or post-pandemic urban resilience. Additionally, some researchers may have moved towards interdisciplinary studies that integrate smart city concepts with broader socioeconomic and environmental frameworks. A deeper analysis of funding sources, policy developments, and institutional research priorities could further clarify the reasons behind this trend.



Figure 4 Documents Published by year

From Figure 5, it is evident that China leads in smart city research, with 125 publications, primarily focusing on comparative analyses and case studies such as The Concept of Building Serang Towards a Smart City by Rosalina, V., & Sugiyani. The United States follows closely, contributing 110 documents, reflecting its emphasis on integrating smart technologies into urban planning and governance.

India has 65 documents, highlighting its increasing interest in smart city initiatives, particularly through national programs like the Smart Cities Mission. Australia contributes 60 documents, with research often emphasizing sustainability and smart infrastructure. Italy and Spain each account for 51 documents, reflecting their focus on integrating historical urban landscapes with smart innovations.

A noticeable decline in publication numbers is observed among Germany and South Korea, which each produced around 40 documents. This suggests that while these countries maintain an active role in smart city research, their focus may be shifting toward specific subfields such as IoT-based urban management or AI-driven public services rather than broad smart city conceptualizations. Finally, the Netherlands records the lowest number of smart city publications, with 30 documents, possibly due to a more localized or industry-driven approach to smart city implementation rather than extensive academic discourse.



Documents by country/territory

Figure 5 Documents Published by Countries

CONCLUSION

This study has examined smart city development in Kampala and Jakarta, emphasizing how governance, infrastructure, and socio-political dynamics shape urban transformation in these cities. The findings reveal that smart city development in both contexts is not merely a technological upgrade but a complex interplay of governance structures, socio-economic disparities, and infrastructural constraints. The comparative analysis demonstrates that while both cities share challenges such as uneven infrastructure distribution, governance limitations, and financial constraints, their approaches to smart city initiatives diverge based on local political, cultural, and economic conditions.

In Kampala, the lack of integrated urban planning and limited government funding pose significant obstacles to smart city implementation. The study highlights that despite Uganda's digital transformation efforts, infrastructural and policy inefficiencies hinder progress. Similarly, Jakarta's Movement Towards 100 Smart Cities initiative faces challenges related to budget constraints, uneven technological adoption, and regional disparities. However, Jakarta's smart city framework is more structured, benefiting from stronger political backing and investment in digital infrastructure.

The research underscores the necessity of aligning smart city initiatives with good governance principles, ensuring that technological advancements contribute to equitable urban development. In both Kampala and Jakarta, policies should prioritize bridging the gap between underdeveloped and central areas, improving resource management, and enhancing citizen engagement in smart city planning. The study also emphasizes that smart city development should extend beyond ICT implementation to include socio-environmental considerations, fostering sustainability and inclusivity.

From a policy perspective, the findings suggest that successful smart city development requires not only financial investment but also political commitment, capacity-building in digital governance, and community participation. Addressing governance inefficiencies, improving leadership engagement, and ensuring equitable access to infrastructure will be critical for both cities. Future research should explore how collaborative governance models can enhance smart city resilience, particularly in rapidly urbanizing and resource-constrained settings like Kampala and Jakarta.

By shedding light on the structural, cultural, and governance challenges facing smart city initiatives in these two cities, this study contributes to a deeper understanding of urban digital transformation in the Global South. Policymakers and urban planners must move beyond technology-centric approaches and adopt holistic, locally responsive strategies to ensure that smart city initiatives truly improve the quality of life for all urban residents.

REFERENSI

- Annisa Nurdiassa, Achmad Zulfikar, Fatmawati Rasyid, ATW (2021). Smart City Policy Implementation in Realizing Makassar a World City Smart City Policy Implementation in Realizing Makassar a World City. 3(1), 37–46.
- Aprinato, N. (2021). The role of information and communication technology in business. International Journal Administration, Business and Organization (IJABO) |, 2(1), 1–7. https://ijabo.a3i.or.id
- Asri B. (2020). Implementation of Application-Based Public Service Policies in the Covid-19 Era in Cimahi City, West Java Province. VISIONER: Journal of Regional Government in Indonesia, 12(4), 695–712. https://doi.org/10.54783/jv.v12i4.330
- Atidira, R., & Priyono, A. (2020). Exploration of the intellectual capital of the Semarang City Government. Journal of Modernization Economics, 16(3), 117–131. https://doi.org/10.21067/jem.v16i3.5058
- Edi Surya Negara, MK (2021). Smart Government. Bina Darma University Publishing and Printing Center Press.
- Faidat, N., & Khozin, M. (2018). Smart City Development Strategy Analysis: Case Study of Yogyakarta City. JIP (Journal of Government Science): Study of Government and Regional Politics, 3(2), 171–180. https://doi.org/10.24905/jip.3.2.2018.171-180
- Hasibuan, A., & Sulaiman, OK (2019). Smart City, Smart City Concept as an Alternative for Resolving District/City Urban Problems, in Big Cities of North Sumatra Province. Engineering Main Bulletin, 14(2), 127–135. https://jurnal.uisu.ac.id/index.php/but/article/view/1097
- Hoetoro, A., & Satria, D. (2020). Smart Economy: MSME Entrepreneurship 4.0. Brawijaya University Press.
- Husain, IHA (2019). Basic Environmental Resilience: Basic Environment. LEGITIMATE MEDIA.
- Ilmananda, AS, Marcus, RD, & Pamuji, FY (2022). Utilization of Information and Communication Technology (ICT) Infrastructure in Smart City Development: Case Study of Batu City Government. Brilliant: A Research And Conceptual Journal, 7(1), 253. https://doi.org/10.28926/briliant.v7i1.794
- Priest. (2022). Smart City: Contemporary Era Of Smart City Concept (Study Of Smart City Policy In Sumenep District). Public Corner Journal of Wiraraja University, 17(8), 88–104.
- Izzuddin, F.N. (2022). Smart City Concept in Sustainable Development. Citizen: Indonesian Multidisciplinary Scientific Journal, 2(3), 376–382. https://doi.org/10.53866/jimi.v2i3.96
- Jabbar Sangaji, MS, Priyanti Noor, PZ, & Navasari, S. (2021). Jakarta Smart City Policy Analysis Towards a Civil Society. Journal of Government Insight, 1(2), 62–75. https://doi.org/10.47030/jgi.v1i2.306
- Ministry of National Development Planning/National Development Planning Agency. (2015). 2015 Government Work Plan Supplement.
- Ledoh, LY (2021). Smart City Readiness Analysis (Case Study of Kupang City Government) Smart City Readiness Analysis (Case Study of Kupang City Government). VI(129), 1–15.
- Muhajir, R., Nasrulhaq, & Tahir, N. (2022). Smart Governance in Planning and Budgeting Policies at the Makassar City Regional Development Planning Agency (Bappeda). Scientific Study of Public Administration Students (KIMAP), 3(1), 299–314.

- Rifaid, R., Abdurrahman, A., Baharuddin, T., & A. Kusuma, BM (2023). Smart City Development in the New Capital City: Indonesian Government Plans. Journal of Contemporary Governance and Public Policy, 4(2), 115–130. https://doi.org/10.46507/jcgpp.v4i2.141
- Rosalina, V., Sugiyani, Y., & Triayudi, A. (2014). Computer Network Infrastructure Design in the Concept of Building Serang Towards a Smart City. PROSISKO Journal, 1(September), 2–5. http://zachmaninternational.com/index.php/home-
- Savitri, A. (2019). Industrial revolution 4.0: turning challenges into opportunities in the era of disruption 4.0. In Genesis Publishers.
- Sri Adiningsih, SE (2019). Digital-based economic transformation in Indonesia: the birth of new trends in technology, business, economics and policy in Indonesia. Gramedia Pustaka Utama.
- Sudarsana, IK (2016). Improving the Quality of Out-of-School Education in Human Resource Development Efforts. Journal of Quality Assurance, 1(1), 1. https://doi.org/10.25078/jpm.v1i1.34
- Utomo, CEW, & Hariadi, M. (2016). Smart City Development Strategy and Challenges for City Communities. Journal of Strategy and Business, 4(2), 159–176.