35562-109631-1-SM.docx

Universitas Muhammadiyah Mataram

Document Details

Submission ID
trn:oid:::14999:117277199

Submission Date
Oct 18, 2025, 1:42 PM GMT+7

Download Date
Dec 6, 2025, 8:05 AM GMT+7

File Name
35562-109631-1-SM.docx

File Size
510.1 KB

9 Pages

3,987 Words

22,191 Characters

18% Overall Similarity

The combined total of all matches, including overlapping sources, for each database.

Filtered from the Report

- Bibliography
- Small Matches (less than 8 words)

Match Groups

62 Not Cited or Quoted 18%
Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks

3 Missing Quotations 1%
Matches that are still very similar to source material

0 Missing Citation 0%
Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation

0 Cited and Quoted 0%
Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

Top Sources

11% Internet sources
8% Publications
12% Submitted works (Student Papers)

Integrity Flags

0 Integrity Flags for Review

No suspicious text manipulations found.

Our system's algorithms look deeply at a document for any inconsistencies that would set it apart from a normal submission. If we notice something strange, we flag it for you to review.

A Flag is not necessarily an indicator of a problem. However, we'd recommend you focus your attention there for further review.

Match Groups

- **62** Not Cited or Quoted 18%
  Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks
- **3** Missing Quotations 1%
  Matches that are still very similar to source material
- **0** Missing Citation 0%
  Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation
- **0** Cited and Quoted 0%
  Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

Top Sources

11% Internet sources
8% Publications
12% Submitted works (Student Papers)

Top Sources

The sources with the highest number of matches within the submission. Overlapping sources will not be displayed.

1	Publication	
Athir Mizhari Modeong, Abdul Haris Odja, Trisnawaty Junus Buhungo, Tirtawaty A...		2%
2	Internet	
online-journal.unja.ac.id		2%
3	Submitted works	
Universitas Khairun on 2024-11-30		1%
4	Internet	
www.science.gov		<1%
5	Submitted works	
National University of Singapore on 2024-11-17		<1%
6	Internet	
healingclap.com		<1%
7	Submitted works	
Imam Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University on 2025-09-22		<1%
8	Submitted works	
Sriwijaya University on 2025-05-20		<1%
9	Publication	
"Multi-Omics in Biomedical Sciences and Environmental Sustainability", Springer ...		<1%
10	Submitted works	
Temasek Polytechnic on 2024-01-28		<1%

11 Internet
atomindonesia.brin.go.id <1%

12 Internet
www.researchgate.net <1%

13 Submitted works
Curtin University of Technology on 2009-05-01 <1%

14 Publication
Hiroyuki Hamaguchi. "Head multidetector-row CT angiography with low kV settin... <1%

15 Internet
eurradiolexp.springeropen.com <1%

16 Publication
Jong Wan Hu. "Advanced Materials and Structural Engineering", CRC Press, 2016 <1%

17 Internet
libguides.library.usyd.edu.au <1%

18 Submitted works
Singapore Institute of Technology on 2020-03-13 <1%

19 Submitted works
Southwestern Christian University on 2024-03-05 <1%

20 Internet
www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov <1%

21 Internet
www.radiantviewer.com <1%

22 Publication
Echeta, Ifeanyichukwu. "Analysis of Defects in Additively Manufactured Lattice St... <1%

23 Publication
Lawrence T. Dauer, Bae P. Chu, Pat B. Zanzonico. "Dose, Benefit, and Risk in Medi... <1%

24 Publication
Nurdian Rahmah Utami, Desnita Desnita, Akmam Akmam, Emiliannur Emiliannur... <1%

25 Submitted works
Universiti Sains Malaysia on 2014-06-23 <1%

26 Internet
ijamscr.com <1%

27 Publication
Antonios E. Papadakis, Kostas Perisinakis, Maria Raissaki, John Damilakis. "Effect ... <1%

28 Submitted works
Universiti Sains Malaysia on 2013-06-23 <1%

29 Submitted works
University of Bradford on 2012-05-17 <1%

30 Submitted works
University of Glasgow on 2021-10-18 <1%

31 Internet
arxiv.org <1%

32 Internet
pure.uva.nl <1%

33 Publication
Hsiang-Chuan Liu, Wen-Pei Sung, Wenli Yao. "Computer, Intelligent Computing a... <1%

34 Submitted works
Mahidol University on 2008-12-16 <1%

35 Publication
Nilanjan Dey, Bitan Misra, Sayan Chakraborty. "Smart Medical Imaging for Diagn... <1%

36 Submitted works
University of the West Indies on 2024-04-15 <1%

37 Internet
doi.org <1%

38 Internet
ia800802.us.archive.org <1%

39 Internet

pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov <1%

40 Internet

thesis.univ-biskra.dz <1%

41 Internet

vdoc.pub <1%

42 Internet

www.coursehero.com <1%

ORBITA: Jurnal Pendidikan dan Ilmu Fisika
ISSN : 2460-9587 (print) | ISSN : 2614-7017 (elektronik)
Volume xx, No. x, xxxx, pp. xx-xx

EVALUATION OF THE INFLUENCE OF FACTORS ON CTDIvol AND CNR IN CT-SCAN THORAX PROCEDURES

Nadhia Nortania Sari[1], Yoza Fendriani[2], Febri Berthalita Pujaningsih[3]

Fakultas Sains dan Teknologi, Universitas Jambi, Jambi, Indonesia
Correspondence: nadhianortania342@gmail.com

Received: xx January 2024 | Revised: xx August 2024 | Accepted: xx September 2024 | Published Online: xx December 2024
© The Author(s) 2025

Abstract

This study investigates the effect of exposure parameter variations in CT-Scan on image quality and radiation dose during thoracic examinations. The exposure parameters analyzed were tube voltages of 80, 100, and 120 kV, along with tube currents of 100, 200, and 300 mA. A quantitative approach was employed using a Cannon TOS air phantom at the Radiology Department of Bhayangkara Hospital Jambi with a Toshiba 16-slice CT-Scan unit. Radiation dose was obtained from $CTDI_{vol}$ values displayed on the CT console, while image quality was evaluated using the Contrast to Noise Ratio (CNR) calculated with RadiAnt DICOM Viewer software. The findings demonstrate that both tube voltage and current strongly influence $CTDI_{vol}$ and CNR. Higher exposure settings increased radiation dose, with several combinations exceeding the recommended safety threshold. At 100 mA, all tube voltages remained below the BAPETEN regulatory limit of 11 mGy for non-contrast thoracic scans. Similarly, 200 mA at 80 and 100 kV remained within safe limits, while 200 mA at 120 kV slightly exceeded the threshold. Doses rose markedly at 300 mA, particularly at 120 kV, reaching values far above the permissible limit.

Keywords: CT-Scan; Exposure Factors; $CTDI_{vol}$; CNR; Thorax

How to Cite: Author 1 & Author 2. (2022). Instructions/template for preparing manuscript for orbita: jurnal pendidikan dan ilmu fisika. *ORBITA: Jurnal Pendidikan dan Ilmu Fisika, x*(x), xx-xx. http://doi.org/10.22342/orbita.v9i1.xxxx

INTRODUCTION

Advancements in health technology, particularly in the field of radiology, utilize various medical imaging techniques for disease diagnosis and treatment. Radiology employs technologies such as X-rays, CT scans, and MRI to produce detailed images of internal organs (Fitriani et al., 2020). Computed Tomography Scan (CT Scan) is a medical imaging technique that uses X-ray radiation to generate detailed images of the internal structures of the human body. CT scans are widely used in clinical practice to support the diagnosis of various conditions, such as examinations of the head, chest cavity, and abdominal cavity (Siregar et al., 2020). In thoracic examinations, CT scans play an important role in detecting infections, tumors, and other abnormalities through spiral or axial scanning techniques. However, due to the relatively high radiation dose compared to other imaging methods, dose optimization is necessary to minimize risks to patients (Nurhayati et al., 2019).

Exposure factors are parameters that control the characteristics of X-ray photons in terms of quantity and quality during radiographic image production (Melti et al., 2024). The main exposure

factors in CT scans include tube voltage (kV), tube current (mA), scan time (mAs), and slice thickness. Tube voltage determines the energy of the generated X-ray photons, which affects their penetration ability through body tissues. Tube current is related to the number of X-ray photons produced per unit time, while scan time determines the duration of exposure.

Variations in exposure factors have a direct impact on the radiation dose received by the patient and the quality of the resulting image. Increases in tube voltage and current tend to raise the radiation dose, which is measured by the Computed Tomography Dose Index volume (CTDIvol). Higher kV and mA settings can improve image quality by reducing noise and enhancing the Contrast-to-Noise Ratio (CNR). Therefore, optimizing image quality while limiting radiation dose is essential to ensure patient safety and diagnostic effectiveness (Kartasasmita et al., 2021).

A study conducted by Noveranty (2024) analyzed the effect of varying kV and mA on CNR and CTDIvol in CT scan examinations. The study utilized abdominal examination parameters using a phantom. The findings showed that changes in exposure factors affected CTDIvol values, while CNR values did not exhibit a consistent pattern with increasing tube voltage or current, and were also influenced by other technical factors. Meanwhile, research by Sukanta et al. (2022), which analyzed the effect of voltage variation on CNR and CTDI, revealed that changes in kV affected CTDI, with higher kV values corresponding to an increase in CTDI.

This study aims to investigate how changes in tube voltage and current in CT scans affect image quality and radiation dose in thoracic examinations, referring to several previous studies. The study uses CNR and CTDIvol parameters as the main indicators to assess image quality and radiation dose levels. Although many studies have examined exposure factors in CT scans, research specifically focusing on thoracic examinations remains limited. Therefore, this study is expected to provide strategies for optimizing thoracic CT scan protocols to achieve optimal diagnostic image quality with minimal radiation dose, while also contributing to improving the quality of radiology services at Bhayangkara Hospital Jambi.

X-rays are a form of electromagnetic radiation that belong to the same group as radio waves and ultraviolet rays but have much shorter wavelengths, allowing them to penetrate various types of materials. This radiation has several physical characteristics, including penetrating ability, scattering, absorption, photographic effects, ionization, and biological effects (Souisa et al., 2014). CT Scan, or Computed Tomography Scan, is a medical imaging technique that uses X-rays to produce cross-sectional images of the body. The scanning process in CT involves rotating the X-ray source around the patient, producing a series of cross-sectional images of the body. Although CT scans provide highly valuable diagnostic information, it is important to minimize patient exposure to radiation. Therefore, radiation dose control and the use of proper techniques are essential to ensure patient safety during the procedure (Prameswari et al., 2024).

The radiation dose in thoracic CT scan examinations refers to the amount of ionizing radiation received by the patient during the imaging procedure. This dose is measured using several parameters, including CTDI and DLP. CTDI provides an estimate of the radiation dose absorbed by body tissues during a single scan, while DLP measures the total radiation dose based on the length of the scanned area (Raharjo et al., 2015).

On the other hand, optimal image quality in CT scans refers to images that have high contrast, good sharpness, and minimal noise, allowing for accurate detection of anatomical structures and abnormalities. Image quality is crucial in medical diagnosis because clear and informative images assist physicians in making accurate clinical decisions. To achieve optimal image quality, it is important to

carefully adjust technical parameters such as tube voltage, current, and slice thickness (Irsal et al., 2021).

RadiAnt DICOM Viewer is a software application designed to display and process medical images in the DICOM (Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine) format. The software supports various imaging modalities, including Digital Radiography (CR, DX), Mammography (MG), Computed Tomography (CT), Ultrasonography (USG), Nuclear Medicine (NM), and others. RadiAnt DICOM Viewer can handle various types of DICOM files, both monochromatic and colored, static and dynamic, as well as compressed and uncompressed images (Medixant, 2021).

METHODS

Type of Research

This study employs a quantitative research method, which produces measurable data expressed numerically through experimentation. The research was conducted in the Radiology Department of Bhayangkara Hospital Jambi using a Toshiba 16-slice CT Scan machine. The object of study utilized a phantom as a representation of the human body in medical imaging, while image quality analysis was performed using the RadiAnt DICOM Viewer software.

Image Scanning

The scanning process was carried out by placing the phantom on the CT scan table according to the thoracic examination protocol, followed by entering fictitious patient data and determining the scan area. The scanning parameters included variations in tube voltage (80, 100, 120 kV), tube current (100, 200, 300 mA), constant rotation time of 0.75 seconds, and slice thickness of 5 mm. The scanning results were obtained in DICOM format and analyzed using the RadiAnt DICOM Viewer software, while radiation dose data were obtained from the CTDIvol value displayed on the computer screen.

Data Analysis

a. Determining the $CTDI_{vol}$ Value

The $CTDI_{vol}$ value was analyzed based on the phantom scanning results following the thoracic examination procedure. CTDIvol (Computed Tomography Dose Index Volume) represents the amount of radiation dose absorbed by the body or phantom during one CT scan session. CTDI is calculated based on the radiation dose profile generated by the CT scanner and serves as an important indicator for assessing the safety and effectiveness of the CT procedure (Bushberg et al., 2012). The CTDIvol value is automatically displayed on the computer console after the phantom scanning process is completed using the CT scanner (Alzufri & Dede, 2023).

The analysis of exposure factors on CTDIvol was performed by organizing the data in tables using Microsoft Excel and presenting them in graphical form. The results were then verified according to BAPETEN Regulation No. 1211/K/V/2021 concerning diagnostic dose guidelines for CT scans and general radiography. This study focuses on non-contrast thoracic CT scans with a reference dose of 11 mGy.

b. Determining the CNR Value

CNR (Contrast-to-Noise Ratio) is a measurement used to assess how clearly or distinctly an object appears in a CT scan image compared to its background. A high CNR value indicates that the object appears clear and easily distinguishable from its surroundings, while a low CNR value suggests that the image appears blurry (Listiyani et al., 2021). The images obtained in DICOM format were

processed using the RadiAnt DICOM Viewer software to calculate the mean object value, mean background value, and standard deviation through the determination of the Region of Interest (ROI) in the image. ROI refers to a specific area in a medical image selected for detailed analysis. ROIs are classified into two types: object ROI and background ROI (Alzufri & Nurmiati, 2023). Steps for Determining the CNR Value:

a. After scanning, the phantom images are saved in DICOM format.
b. The images are opened using the RadiAnt DICOM Viewer software.
c. Two regions, known as ROIs, are determined — one for the object and one for the background. The object ROI is positioned in the area representing the main tissue, while the background ROI is placed in a low-contrast area.




Figure 1. ROI Selection on the Image

d. From each ROI, the mean object value, mean background value, and standard deviation (SD) are obtained, as shown in Figure 1.
e. Once the data are collected, the CNR value is calculated using Equation 1.

Where $\bar{X}ob$ represents the mean value of the object ROI (HU), $\bar{X}bg$ represents the mean value of the background ROI (HU), and σ represents the standard deviation value of the background ROI.

According to the Diagnostic Reference Levels stated in BAPETEN Regulation No. 2 of 2022, a CNR value above 1.0 is considered adequate for adult thoracic images, as it indicates clear contrast and low noise. CNR serves as a benchmark for comparing image results obtained from different combinations of tube voltage (kV) and tube current (mA), thereby helping to determine the optimal exposure settings (Nurhayati, 2019).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

a. Radiation Dose ($CTDI_{vol}$)

In this study, the analysis was carried out using a Toshiba 16-slice CT scanner with a water phantom as the object to evaluate the effect of kV and mA on $CTDI_{vol}$ values. The measurement results are presented in Table 1 as the basis for optimizing exposure parameters.

Table 1. Measurement Results of $CTDI_{vol}$ Values for Variations in Tube Voltage (kV) and Tube Current (mA).

Tube Current (mA)	Rotation Time (s)	Tube Voltage (kV)	$CTDI_{vol}$ (mGy)
100	0,75	80	2,1
		100	3,7
		120	5,8
200	0,75	80	4,2
		100	7,5
		120	11,6
300	0,75	80	6,3
		100	12,3
		120	19,2

In a medical imaging procedure such as a CT scan, it is essential to understand how imaging parameters influence the radiation dose received by patients. One commonly used indicator to evaluate radiation exposure levels is $CTDI_{vol}$. This value reflects the amount of radiation administered during the examination and is influenced by several factors, primarily tube voltage and tube current. Understanding the relationship between these parameters and $CTDI_{vol}$ values is crucial for maintaining a balance between image quality and patient safety.

In CT scan examinations, parameters such as tube voltage (kV) and tube current (mA) have a significant impact on both the radiation dose received by the patient and the quality of the resulting images. Increasing the tube voltage produces X-rays with higher energy and greater penetration power, allowing more photons to pass through and be absorbed by body tissues. This directly leads to an increase in radiation dose. However, on the other hand, higher X-ray energy can reduce image noise and improve the Contrast-to-Noise Ratio (CNR), resulting in clearer and more informative images.




Figure 2. Distribution of $CTDI_{vol}$ Values at Various Tube Voltage (kV) and Tube Current (mA) Settings

Based on the analysis in Figure 2, it can be observed that $CTDI_{vol}$ values increase with rising tube voltage (kV) and tube current (mA). This is consistent with the fundamental principles of radiation physics, where higher voltage accelerates electrons from the cathode to the anode, producing high-energy X-rays with greater penetrating power (Sukanta et al., 2022). This condition causes more radiation to be absorbed by body tissues, thus increasing the patient's radiation dose. Meanwhile, tube

current controls the number of electrons in motion, which directly affects the number of X-ray photons generated. The simultaneous increase in both parameters amplifies the overall radiation dose effect.

Based on $CTDI_{vol}$ measurements, the results show that radiation dose tends to increase in line with the rise of both parameters. At a tube current of 100 mA with tube voltages of 80 kV, 100 kV, and 120 kV, all $CTDI_{vol}$ values remain within the safety limits recommended by BAPETEN, which is 11 mGy for non-contrast thoracic examinations. A similar trend is observed at a tube current of 200 mA with voltages of 80 kV and 100 kV, where the radiation dose remains within the standard range. However, at the combination of 200 mA and 120 kV, the dose increases to 11.6 mGy, slightly exceeding the recommended threshold. A more significant increase in dose occurs at 300 mA, with CTDIvol values reaching 12.3 mGy at 100 kV and 19.2 mGy at 120 kV. This condition indicates a risk of radiation overexposure if such parameters are applied. Therefore, exposure factor optimization is necessary to maintain adequate diagnostic image quality while ensuring that radiation doses remain within safe limits for patients.

Hence, optimization of all technical parameters is essential to achieve a balance between keeping radiation doses as low as reasonably achievable and maintaining diagnostic image quality, in accordance with the ALARA (As Low As Reasonably Achievable) principle.

b. Image Quality

Image quality in CT scan examinations plays a crucial role in ensuring the accuracy of diagnostic information, thereby supporting clinical decision-making by medical professionals. One of the quantitative parameters commonly used to assess image quality is the Contrast-to-Noise Ratio (CNR), which represents the ratio indicating how distinctly the target object can be differentiated from the background in the presence of noise in the image. A higher contrast value allows for easier distinction between signal and background.

Table 2. Measurement Results of CNR Values at Various Tube Voltage and Tube Current Settings

Tube Current (mA)	Tube Voltage (kV)	Area ROI (cm^2)	ROI Objek		ROI Background		CNR
			Mean (HU)	SD	Mean (HU)	SD	
100	80	0,555	-103,12	43,93	-3,58	2,64	2,64
	100	0,557	-90,85	58,11	-3,44	29,1	3,00
	120	0,552	-96,35	22,69	-2,59	28,74	3,26
200	80	0,552	-135,3	38,97	-13,0	38,95	3,13
	100	0,552	-99,18	28,16	-4,66	28,05	3,36
	120	0,552	-84,4	26,44	-1,86	3,54	3,54
300	80	0,555	-93,66	58,99	-4,58	29,65	2,98
	100	0,555	-94,66	37,57	-9,97	26,83	3,15
	120	0,554	-82,21	17,65	2,074	32,71	3,42

Based on Table 2, it can be seen that the CNR values vary across the three combinations of tube voltage and tube current. The following graph illustrates the comparison of CNR values in thoracic CT scan examinations.




Figure 3. CNR Values at Different Tube Voltage and Tube Current Variations

Based on the analysis shown in Figure 3, it can be observed that the CNR value increases with the rise in tube voltage (kV) and tube current (mA) used. This indicates that both parameters have a significant influence on the image quality produced in CT scan examinations. Higher tube voltage contributes to increased X-ray photon energy, while higher tube current produces a greater number of X-ray photons—together enhancing image contrast and reducing noise.

From the graph in Figure 3, the highest CNR value was obtained at the combination of 120 kV and 200 mA, with a value of 3.54. Meanwhile, the combinations of 120 kV with 100 mA and 300 mA produced CNR values of 3.26 and 3.42, respectively. This shows that an increase in tube current does not always result in a linear increase in CNR values. The CNR value obtained at 80 kV and 100 mA was 2.64, while at 200 mA it was 3.13, and at 300 mA it was 2.98. These results indicate that the combination of tube voltage and tube current must be optimized to achieve the best image quality.

The irregular pattern of CNR increase is likely due to higher scatter radiation at greater voltages, which contributes to increased image noise. As stated by Nurhayati (2019), simultaneous increases in tube voltage and current do not always produce a stable rise in CNR. On the other hand, according to Herlinda (2019), increasing tube voltage enhances X-ray photon energy and shortens the wavelength, which reduces X-ray attenuation in tissues, thereby affecting the image contrast quality.

According to regulations from the Nuclear Energy Regulatory Agency (BAPETEN), a CNR value above 1.0 is considered acceptable for clinical diagnosis. Therefore, selecting appropriate imaging technical parameters is crucial to ensure optimal CNR while maintaining the lowest possible $CTDI_{vol}$ value. In practice, the determination of exposure parameters must be adjusted based on the type of examination, the patient's physical condition, and the anatomical region being imaged. The implementation of the Automatic Exposure Control (AEC) system plays an important role in automatically and efficiently regulating imaging parameters to produce diagnostically useful images while ensuring that radiation exposure remains within established safety limits.

Based on the results of this study, the combination of a tube voltage of 100 kV and a tube current of 200 mA was found to be the most optimal setting for thoracic CT scan examinations. This combination produced high-quality images with a high CNR value while keeping the radiation dose at a safe level. The obtained $CTDI_{vol}$ value remained below the recommended limit stated in BAPETEN Regulation No.

1211/K/V/2021 for non-contrast thoracic examinations, which is 11 mGy. Therefore, this protocol is considered effective in achieving a balance between diagnostic image quality and patient safety.

The best CNR value was achieved at 200 mA because, at this current, the number of generated X-ray photons was sufficient to reduce image noise, thereby improving image quality optimally. In comparison, at 100 mA, the number of photons produced was lower, resulting in higher noise and a lower CNR, while at 300 mA, although more photons were generated, the increase in CNR was not significant and led to a higher radiation dose. Thus, the use of a 100 kV and 200 mA combination can be recommended as the optimal protocol because it provides good image quality while maintaining radiation dose within safe limits—aligning with the ALARA (As Low As Reasonably Achievable) principle.

This parameter assists physicians in conducting quantitative diagnostic evaluations and obtaining high-quality X-ray images, making the selection of optimal exposure factors particularly important (Putri et al., 2023).

CONCLUSION

Based on the results of this study on the effect of variations in exposure factor parameters on $CTDI_{vol}$ and CNR values in thoracic CT scan examinations using a water phantom, it can be concluded that:

Variations in tube voltage and tube current were proven to influence $CTDI_{vol}$ and CNR values, where an increase in both parameters led to a rise in radiation dose. The variations in tube voltage at constant tube currents of 100 mA and 200 mA produced radiation doses that remained within the safety limits set by BAPETEN Regulation No. 1211/K/V/2021 (2021) for non-contrast thoracic examinations, which is 11 mGy.

The increase in tube voltage and tube current also affected the rise in CNR values. All obtained CNR values were above 1.0, exceeding the Diagnostic Reference Level (DRL) stated in BAPETEN Regulation No. 2 of 2022 for non-contrast thoracic CT scan examinations. Therefore, these results are considered safe and in accordance with the ALARA (As Low As Reasonably Achievable) principle.

REFERENCES

Alzufri, H. S., & Nurmiati, D. (2023). Pengaruh Parameter CT Untuk Optimasi Dosis Radiasi dan Kualitas Citra CT Scan pada Pemeriksaan Kepala dan Abdomen di RS Sentra Medika Cibinong. In *Prosiding Seminar Si-INTAN* (Vol. 3, No. 1, pp. 17-22).

Bapeten. (2016). Pedoman Teknik Penyusun Tingkat Panduan Paparan Medik atau Diagnostic Reference Level (DRL) Nasional. Jakarta: Bapeten.

Bushberg, J. T., J. A. Seibert, E. M. Leidholdt dan J. M. Boene. 2012. The Essential Physics of Medical Imaging. Third Edition. Baltimore: Williams and Wilkins.

Fitriani, Zelviani, Sri Sahara. 2020. “Pengaruh Tegangan Tabung (KV) Pada Pemeriksaan Thorax Terhadap Kualitas Citra Radiografi Dengan Analisis Aplikasi Image-J.” *Jurnal Fisika Dan Terapannya* 7(2): 139–48. doi:10.24252/jft.v7i2.18267.

Herlinda, Sri, Dian Fitriyani, and Marzuki Marzuki. 2019. “Analisis Pengaruh Kuat Arus Dan Tegangan Terhadap Kualitas Citra Computed Tomography (CT) Scan Siemens Perspective Di RSUP Dr. M. Djamil Padang.” *Positron* 9(1): 39. doi:10.26418/positron.v9i1.31138.

Irsal, Muhammad, Nurbaiti Nurbaiti, Aulia Narendra Mukhtar, Shinta Gunawati, and Wahyu Hidayat. 2021. “Pengaruh Tegangan Tabung Terhadap Kualitas Citra Pada Pemeriksaan Computed Tomography Kepala Menggunakan Iterative Reconstruction.” *Jurnal Teori dan Aplikasi Fisika* 9(1): 103–10. doi:10.23960/jtaf.v9i1.2715.

Kartasasmita, Sariyanto Ginanjar, Mayarani Mayarani, and Novan Hendra Hariyanto. 2021. "Automatic Exposure Control And Fixed MA Technique To Radiation Dose And Image Quality On Non Contrast Urology CT Scan." *Media Kesehatan Politeknik Kesehatan Makassar* 16(1): 8. doi:10.32382/medkes.v16i1.1669.

Listiyani, Iis Listiyani, Anis Nismayanti, Maskur Maskur, Kasman Kasman, M. Syahrul Ulum, and Abd. Rahman Rahman. 2021. "Analisis Noise Level Hasil Citra CT-Scan Pada Phantom Kepala Dengan Variasi Tegangan Tabung Dan Ketebalan Irisan." *Gravitasi* 20(1): 5–9. doi:10.22487/gravitasi.v20i1.15517.

Medixant. 2021. RadiAnt DICOM *Viewer User Manual Version* 3.0.2. Medixant.

Melti, Efrensiana, I Gde, Antha Kasmawan, and Wayan Supardi. 2024. "Pengaruh Eksposi Terhadap Kualitas Citra Radiografi Berdasarkan Ketebalan Objek Pada Pemeriksaan Abdomen Effect of Exposure on Radiographic Image Quality Based on Object Thickness on Abdominal Examination." *Effect of Exposure on Radiographic Image Quality Based on Object.* 25: 48–55.

Noveranty, A., Purwaningsih, S., & Fendriani, Y. (2024). Analisis Pengaruh Variasi Faktor Eksposi pada CT Scan terhadap Kualitas Citra dan Dosis Radiasi pada Pemeriksaan Abdomen. *Journal Online Of Physics*,*9*(3),53-59.

Nurhayati, Arry Y, Nia N Nariswari, B Rahayuningsih, and Yuda C Hariadi. 2019. "Analisis Variasi Faktor Eksposi Dan Ketebalan Irisan Terhadap CTDI Dan Kualitas Citra Pada Computed Tomography Scan (Analysis of Variation of Exposure Factor and Slice Thickness On CTDI and Image Quality at Computed Tomography Scan)." *Berkala Saintek* 7(1): 7–12.

Prameswari, E. P. A., Sutapa, G. N., Ratnawati, I. G. A. A., Ratini, N. N., Artawan, I. N., & Trisnawati, N. L. P. (2024). Analisis Laju Dosis Radiasi di Area Ruang CT Scan di Instalasi Radiologi RSU Bali Mandara. *Kappa Journal*, *8*(2), 201-207.

Putri,D,V., Ni, K,N,A., dan Rozi, I. (2023). Pengaruh Variasi Arus Tabung Sinar-X (mA) terhadap Nilai Contrast-To-Noise Ratio (CNR) Pesawat CT-Scan. *Jurnal Prosiding Seminar Keselamatan Nuklir*. Universitas Udayana.

Raharjo,B, B., dan Heri, S. 2015. Pengaruh Penggunaan Aturan Sistem Poin pada Variasi Tegangan Tabung Terhadap Nilai Densitas Radiograf Foto Thorax. *Youngster Physics Journal,* 4(2), 159-164.

Siregar, Elshaday S.B, Gusti Ngurah Sutapa, and I Wayan Balik Sudarsana. 2020. "Analysis of Radiation Dose of Patients on CT Scan Examination Using Si-INTAN Application." *Buletin Fisika* 21(2): 53. doi:10.24843/bf.2020.v21.i02.p03.

Souisa, F., Ratnawati, & Sudarsana, B. (2014). Pengaruh Perubahan Jarak Obyek Ke Film Terhadap Pembesaran Obyek Pada Pemanfaatan Pesawat Sinar-X, Typpe CGR. *Buletin Fisika*, *15*(2), 15–21.

Sukanta, I Gede Eka, Made Sayang Pratista, I Wayan Angga Wirajaya, and Anak Agung Aris Diarthama. 2022. "Analisa Perubahan Kv Terhadap Kualitas Citra Dan Ctdi." *JRI (Jurnal Radiografer Indonesia)* 5(1): 36–41. doi:10.55451/jri.v5i1.106.