# IMPLEMENTATION OF GENERATE ARGUMENT MODEL INTEGRATED WITH LOCAL WISDOM OF BATIMUNG TRADITION ON STUDENTS' ARGUMENTATION SKILLS

## Nursilawati<sup>1</sup>, Farah Erika<sup>2\*</sup>, Usman<sup>3</sup>

 <sup>1,2</sup>Departement of Chemistry Education, Mulawarman University, Indonesia
 <sup>3</sup>Master of Chemistry Education Study Program, Mulawarman University, Indonesia nursilaw88@gmail.com<sup>1</sup>, farah.erika@fkip.unmul.ac.id<sup>2\*</sup>, sainusman@ymail.com<sup>3</sup>

#### INFO ARTIKEL

#### **Riwayat Artikel:**

Diterima: 10-02-2025 Disetujui: 03-04-2025

#### Kata Kunci:

Generate Argument Local wisdom; Argumentation skills; Thermochemistry; Abstrak: Pembelajaran berbasis argumentasi terintegrasi kearifan lokal dapat mendorong siswa menggunakan teori, data, dan fakta untuk mendukung klaim terkait kehidupan sehari-hari. Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk mengetahui penerapan model Generate Argument terintegrasi tradisi Batimung terhadap keterampilan argumentasi siswa pada materi Termokimia di MAN 1 Samarinda Plus Keterampilan dan Riset. Metode penelitian menggunakan quasi-experimental dengan desain non-equivalent control group design. Sampel melibatkan dua kelas XI, yaitu MIPA 1 dan MIPA 2, dengan teknik sampling jenuh. Instrumen penelitian mencakup pretest-posttest untuk nilai keterampilan argumentasi, lembar observasi aktivitas guru dan siswa, serta angket respon siswa. Hasil uji t menunjukkan nilai signifikansi 0,000 < 0,05, mengindikasikan pengaruh signifikan penerapan model ini terhadap keterampilan argumentasi siswa. Observasi aktivitas siswa berada dalam kategori sangat baik, dan rata-rata respon siswa terhadap pembelajaran mencapai 75,2% (kategori sangat baik). Temuan ini menunjukkan bahwa penerapan model Generate Argument berbasis tradisi Batimung berpengaruh dalam meningkatkan keterampilan argumentasi siswa pada materi Termokimia.

ABSTRAK

**Abstract:** Argument-based learning integrated with local wisdom can encourage students to use theories, data, and facts to support claims related to everyday life. This study aims to determine the implementation of the Generate Argument model integrated with the Batimung tradition on students' argumentation skills on Thermochemistry material at MAN 1 Samarinda Plus Skills and Research. The research method used quasi-experimental with non-equivalent control group design. The sample involved two XI classes, namely MIPA 1 and MIPA 2, with a saturated sampling technique. The research instruments included pretest-posttest for argumentation skill scores, teacher and student activity observation sheets, and student response questionnaires. The t-test results showed a significance value of 0.000 < 0.05, indicating a significant effect of applying this model on students' argumentation skills. Observations of student activity were in the very good category, and the average student response to learning reached 75.2% (very good category). These findings indicate that the application of the Generate Argument model based on the Batimung tradition has an effect in improving students' argumentation skills on Thermochemistry material.

\_\_\_\_\_

## A. INTRODUCTION

The independent curriculum has made many changes, emphasizing project-based, active, and learner-centered learning. (Tuerah & Tuerah, 2023). Most of the learning process in schools is still teachercentered. As a result, students can only listen to what is analyzed and understand the argument because they are only given information from the sourcebook used by the teacher. (Trisnayanti, 2017). Students still find it challenging to find and structure information into smaller parts to recognize patterns or relationships and only a few students can express their opinions, while science learning emphasizes students have good argumentation skills (Dewina et al., 2017; Rahayu et al., 2020).

Argument-based learning can encourage students to provide theories, data, and facts supporting claims against a problem (Rahayu et al., 2020). Argumentation is an attempt to convince or prove the truth of a statement, opinion, attitude, or belief by using facts. (Pasaribu, 2020). Argumentation skills come naturally to students, but they are not developed in the school curriculum. Schools should ensure students can formulate opinions independently, empirically, rationally, and critically. However, based on facts in the field, students' argumentation skills can be categorized as low (Jayanti et al., 2018). Observations made in several schools in Samarinda show that students are lacking in scientific argumentation skills. Some students failed to provide the data or theories they learned to support their claims and some simply expressed their opinions without including the chemical theories or concepts they learned. (Ramadhan et al., 2022).

The reality in the field shows that students' scientific argumentation skills during learning are still relatively low with an argumentation level of 63.3% in presenting data to support claims (Dame et al., 2023). In line with Dewantari's research (2022) which states that students' argumentation skills in learning chemistry are still dominated by the basic structure of argumentation, namely the submission of claims accompanied by the presentation of data. The results of other studies show the percentage of students' argumentation skills in the aspects of claiming by 3.74%, data by 4.48%, justification by 5.05%, support by 3.33%, and refutation by 1.17%. (Devi et al., 2018).

Argumentation skills in science, especially in Thermochemistry material are still low (Wahyuni et al., 2022). Thermochemistry material is chemistry subject matter that tends to be considered difficult and abstract by students. The difficulties experienced by students in understanding thermochemical material are not only influenced by the learning process, but can also be influenced by teaching materials and learning models that have been used (Nomizar et al., 2023).

Students tend to memorize concepts rather than understand and communicate them critically. Learning models used in schools often do not link the material to the cultural context of students (Ramdani, 2018). Batimung tradition as a local wisdom practice can be a more meaningful contextual approach (Hadirah, 2022). Research related to the use of the Generate Argument model is still limited, especially those that integrate local wisdom. In fact, this approach has the potential to increase student engagement and their conceptual understanding. By linking learning materials with everyday life, abstract concepts become more concrete and easier to understand with real examples (Andriana, 2024).

Based on the low argumentation skills and the way of teaching using direct learning, a teacher needs to use a variety of models according to the characteristics of chemistry and chemistry learning. Teachers must use the right learning model to influence argumentation skills (Musdiani, 2019). To achieve this goal, a learning model specifically designed to influence argumentation skills is required. One of the learning models that can be used to improve argumentation skills is the Generate Argument learning model. The Generate Argument model was developed by Sampson and Grooms in 2010. This model is designed to help students acquire deeper knowledge. Students are allowed to express what they know, how they know it, and the reasons why they consider it to be the most relevant statement or conclusion. (Javanti et al., 2018). The results showed that the Generate Argument model had a positive effect on students' argumentation skills (Huda, 2014; Jayanti et al., 2018; & Siswanto et al., 2014).

According to Sormin's research (2018), argumentation skills will improve if it is associated with local wisdom. The values of local wisdom will help students understand each topic concept so that the knowledge they gain is not only limited to their knowledge but can also be applied in practice outside of school (Shufa, 2018). The local wisdom that is the focus of researchers is the local wisdom of the Batimung tradition. The Batimung tradition is an ancestral tradition from Kalimantan, especially the Banjar tribe, which has been passed down from generation to generation (Saefuddin & Maryadi, 2018).

The local wisdom of the Batimung tradition can be linked to chemistry learning. The local wisdom of the Batimung tradition involves the process of evaporation and boiling, so in this Batimung tradition process can be associated with Thermochemistry material (Roghdah et al., 2021). The Generate Argument model integrated with Batimung local wisdom in Thermochemistry material, is expected to make it easier for students to influence their argumentation skills because the material studied is related to real life and is not abstract (Shufa, 2018).

Previous research proves that the Generate Argument model can improve argumentation skills (Jayanti et al., 2018; Siswanto et al., 2014). Research conducted by Siswanto et al (2014) used the generate argument model using scientific methods to improve students' cognitive abilities and argumentation skills. The application of the generate argument learning model using scientific methods can significantly improve students' cognitive abilities and argumentation skills compared to the generate argument learning model without using scientific methods.

Research conducted by Jayanti et al (2018) on the application of the argument generator learning model on optical material to improve the argumentation skills of class VIII students of SMPN 20 pekanbaru found that the argumentation skills of experimental class students were higher than the control class so it can be concluded that the argument generator learning model has a positive effect on students' argumentation skills.

The research that will be conducted by researchers is to use the same Generate Argument model learning as previous research and the novelty in this study is that it is integrated with the local wisdom of the Batimung tradition which is associated with Thermochemical material. Based on this explanation, the purpose of this study is to determine the application of the Generate Argument model integrated with Batimung local wisdom to students' argumentation skills on Thermochemical material at MAN 1 Samarinda Plus Skills and Research.

## **B. RESEARCH METHODS**

This type of research uses quasi-experimental research. The form of experimental design used is a non-equivalent control group design. There are two classes of research subjects to be tested, namely the experimental class and the control class. Both classes were given the same learning material, namely Thermochemistry but taught in different ways. The experimental class was taught with the Generate Argument model integrated with Batimung local wisdom, while the control class was taught with a direct learning model.

Table 1	. Research Design
---------	-------------------

Class	Pre-test	Treatment	Post-test
Experiment	01	Х	02
Control	<b>O</b> <sub>3</sub>	-	$O_4$
		(Abraham & Su	priyati, 2022)

Description:

 $O_1$  = Pre-test of experimental class

 $O_2$  = Post-test of experimental class

 $O_3$  = Control class pre-test

O<sub>4</sub> = Post-test of control class

X = Treatment given

The population in the study were all students of class XI MIPA at MAN 1 Samarinda Plus Skills and Research into 2 classes, namely class XI MIPA 1 and XI MIPA 2. The sample selection method itself uses a saturated sampling technique. This study uses data collection techniques consisting of test and non-test techniques. The test technique used is a written test in the form of 5 essay questions. Non-test techniques documentation. used are observation. and questionnaires.

Data processing of pretest and post-test test scores is obtained based on the scores obtained in the form of essay questions given during learning activities. According to Arikunto (2018), the scores obtained are calculated using the formula:

$$P = \frac{\text{Total score obtained}}{\text{Maximum number of scores}} x100\%$$

Description:

\_

P = Pretest and posttest scores

According to Hardini & Alberida (2022), the qualifications of students' argumentation skills are determined by standards following the guidelines contained in Table 2 below:

Table 2. Score Interpretation Guidelines

Score Interpretation	Qualification
0< x ≤ 19,99	Very weak
20% < x ≤ 39,99	Weak
40%< x ≤ 59,99	Fair
60%< x ≤ 79,99	Strong
$80\% < x \le 100$	Very Strong

Processing of student observation data using the Likert Scale and teacher observation data using the Guttman Scale. Data analysis techniques for students' pretest-posttest scores used normality tests, homogeneity tests, and t-tests.

# C. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 1. Results

a. Results of Written Test Data Analysis of Students' Argumentation Skills

Data analysis of written tests of students' argumentation skills was carried out to measure the effect of the application of the Generate Argument model integrated with the local wisdom of the Batimung tradition on students' argumentation skills in Thermochemistry material. Based on the pretest and post-test data analysis, the students' argumentation skills scores in the experimental and control classes are presented in Table 3 below:

**Table 3.** Recapitulation of Students' Argumentation
 Skills Score

Comp	Experiment Class		Contro	ol Class
onent	Pretest	Posttest	Pretest	Posttets
Highest	54	93	56	77
score Lowest	30	65	25	30
score Average	42	79	40,5	53,5

This result is in line with previous research that emphasizes the importance of argumentation-based learning in improving students' argumentation skills (Candra et al., 2024). Previous research proves that the Generate Argument model can improve argumentation skills (Fatmawati et al., 2018; Jayanti et al., 2018; Siswanto et al., 2014). These findings that structured argumentation-based suggest learning can help students build stronger claims,

supported by relevant evidence, and improve their ability to develop counter-arguments.

b. Statistical Test

After knowing the students' pretest and posttest scores, a normality test was conducted. Normality test is a test used to determine whether the distribution of data is normally distributed or not (Sintia et al., 2022; Sukestiyarno & Agoestanto, 2017). The normality test used in this study used the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test because the sample used was > 50 which is presented in table 4 below:

		5	
Class	Test Type	Significance	Description
Experim ent Class	Pretest	0,085	Normally Distributed
	Posttest	0,200	Normally Distributed
Control Class	Pretest	0,102	Normally Distributed
	Posttest	0,200	Normally Distributed

Table 4. Normality Test

Table 4 shows that the pretest and posttest scores of students are normally distributed, namely the Sig value > 0.05, so because the data is normally distributed, the homogeneity test is then carried out. The homogeneity test is used to determine whether some population variants are the same or not (Sukestiyarno & Agoestanto, 2017). This test is carried out as a prerequisite in the independent sample t test analysis (Usmadi, 2020). The homogeneity test of pretest scores and posttest scores was carried out using the Levene Statistic test in the SPSS 25 program as in table 5 below:

Table 5. Homogeneity Test

Type Test	Sig	Keterangan
Pretest	0,660	Homogeneous
(Experiment- Control)		
Posttest	0,351	Homogeneous
(Experiment- Control)		_

Table 5 shows that the pretest and posttest scores of argumentation skills of students in class XI MIPA are homogeneous because the Sig> 0.05 value. After the data is proven to be normally distributed and homogeneous, then proceed to the t test. The t test is used to determine the effect of each independent variable on the dependent variable (Hendri & Setiawan, 2017) which is presented in table 6 below:

Table 6. Independent Sample T-Test

Type Test	Sig (2- tailed)	Description
Posttest	0,000	There is a
(Eksperimen-Control)		Significant
		Effect

Based on table 6, shows that the Sig value (2.tailed) <0.05, it can be said that there is an effect of the application of the Generate Argument model integrated with the local wisdom of the Batimung Tradition on the argumentation skills of grade XI students at MAN 1 Samarinda Plus Skills and Research. This is also in line with research conducted by (Fatmawati et al., 2018; Jayanti et al., 2018; Siswanto et al., 2014) that the application of the Generate Argument model in learning can improve students' argumentation skills.

According to Annisha (2024) the implementation of local wisdom in learning shows significant effectiveness. Supported by research conducted by Rahmatih (2020) which states that local wisdom values in learning help create contextual and relevant learning experiences because they can be related to the daily lives of students.

- c. Non-Test Data Analysis Results
  - 1) Observation data analysis

Analysis of student and teacher observation data is used to understand how the implementation of the Generate Argument model integrated with the local wisdom of the Batimung tradition takes place in the classroom. These observations help in identifying student engagement, the implementation of learning syntax carried out by teachers, and obstacles that may occur during the learning process (Tabroni et al., 2022).

Experiment Class		
Percentage	Category	
94,25%	Highly Applied	
Control Class		
Percentage	Category	
94%	Highly Applied	

Based on Table 7, states that the percentage of teachers' teaching and learning activities in teaching in experimental classes and control classes in the category is very applied.

Table 8. Student Observation Analysis

Experiment Class		
Percentage	Category	
84%	Very good	
Contro	ol Class	
Percentage	Category	
82,05%	Very good	

control classes. Observation allows researchers to directly observe how students participate in argumentation activities, how they construct and organize arguments, and how teachers facilitate the process (Syarifuddin, 2024). According to Dianti et al (2023) emphasizes that verbal interactions in the classroom can be used to evaluate how students construct claims, provide evidence, and connect with reasoning. Thus, observations not only help in identifying patterns of student engagement, but also provide insight into the argumentation process that occurs during learning.

differences in activity between experimental and

## 2) Analysis of student questionnaire data

Supporting data in this study are the results of analyzing student response questionnaires. The student response questionnaire is an instrument used to measure students' perceptions, experiences, and level of satisfaction with the learning model applied (Sulastri et al., 2024). In this study, the student response questionnaire was used to evaluate the extent to which the Generate Argument model integrated with the local wisdom of Batimung tradition had a positive impact on their argumentation skills in Thermochemistry material. Students' response to learning was obtained through filling out a questionnaire through google form.



**Image 1.** Percentage of student response questionnaire Description:

- A = Ease of understanding the material
- B = Use of learning model
- C = Student activeness
- D = Use of LKPD
- E = Student Interest

According to Setiawan et al (2024) the use of questionnaires in educational research can help identify how students respond to certain learning methods, whether they feel more motivated, find it easier to understand the material, or experience difficulties in the learning process. Research by Wulandari et al (2022) shows that student response questionnaires can be used to assess how a learning model improves their understanding and engagement in the classroom. Students' positive response to a learning model is often associated with an improvement in their critical thinking and argumentation skills.

## 2. Discussion

a. Reconstructing Local Wisdom of Batimung Tradition in Thermochemical Learning

The batimung tradition is one of the local wisdom that can be associated with chemistry learning. According to Annisha (2024), the implementation of local wisdom in learning shows significant effectiveness. Supported by research conducted by Rahmatih (2020) which states that the values of local wisdom in learning help create contextual and relevant learning experiences because they can be related to the daily lives of students.

**Table 9.** Cultural Reconstruction of Batimung Tradition

	5
Indigenous Science	Scientific Science
Batimung health is	In scientific knowledge, this
usually used for the bride	reaction can be studied in
and groom's	class XI MIPA
requirements before	thermochemical material.
standing in the aisle. The	The relationship between
purpose of carrying out	the batimung tradition and
the batimung procession	Thermochemical material
is so that the bride and	is that the Batimung
groom, both men and	process has the same
women who will take	concept as a closed system
place in marriage at the	in thermochemical material
time of pairing later, do	where energy transfer
not emit an	occurs with the
uncomfortable sweat	environment (Sa'adah,
odor, but change to a	2023). In the batimung
fragrant or fragrant smell.	process, when water and
Batimung treatment is a	spices are heated to
traditional method that	produce steam which is
aims to cure certain types	then used in a steam bath,
of diseases, both the	there is an absorption of
batimung process is	energy in the form of heat.
carried out by the Dayak	This process of boiling
Meratus and Banjar	water is an endothermic
communities. Banjar	reaction, while the process
people use more plants	of burning firewood to heat
around the house such as	water can traditionally be
galangal leaves,	attributed to an exothermic
lemongrass, and flowers	reaction (Siagian &
and for Banjar people it	Yasthophi, 2021). In
can only treat wisa pain,	addition, the batimung
while for Dayak people,	tradition has the same
besides wisa pain it can	principles as
also treat pain due to	thermochemical material,
sangga, bone pain, and	namely exothermic

Indigenous Science	Scientific Science
typhoid. During the	reactions. An exothermic
batimung process, people	reaction is a heat release
suffering from jaundice	reaction from the system to
(liver disease) or wisa can	the environment. The same
sweat as much as	principle also occurs in the
possible, so the amount of	batimung tradition, where
disease present in their	the heated jajarangan
bodies gradually	releases water vapor to the
decreases.	person being shaded so
	that his body feels hot and
	sweats (Sa'adah, 2023).

b. Argumentation Skills of Learners

Students' argumentation skills in this study were measured using a test instrument consisting of 5 essay questions representing five indicators of argumentation skills, namely claim, ground, warrant, backing, and rebuttal.

1) Claim

Students are given an understanding that the claim indicator is a statement put forward by a writer that can convince the reader/listener (Hardini & Alberida, 2022). In the claim indicator, students are asked to make claims that are based on theory, data, or other supporters correctly (Handayani et al., 2015). The teacher directs students to make tentative arguments, at this stage the teacher reminds them of examples of tentative arguments that are relevant to everyday life so that students have an idea of the arguments to be made.

Implementation during learning at this stage students get a percentage of 100% with a very good category. According to Dianti (2023), the phase of making tentative arguments helps students develop a basic understanding of an argument in science and teaches students to decide whether the evidence for claims, data, guarantors, and supporters provided is valid, relevant, sufficient, and convincing to support the arguments they make.

The average score of students' argumentation skills for the claim indicator is 82 and is in the very strong category. This shows that the increase in students' knowledge occurs due to the success of the learning process they have gone through. The claim indicator is considered easy because claims usually only require students to identify or convey the main statement based on the information available without having to do an in-depth analysis (Jayanti et al., 2018)

## 2) Ground

The ground argumentation skill indicator is an answer that contains evidence/facts that can prove the argument (Hardini & Alberida, 2022). In this indicator, students are expected to be able to include fully analyzed data to support the claims that students have written. Data are facts used to provide reinforcement based on the claims submitted (Handayani et al., 2015).

Indicators of grounded argumentation skills are in a strong category with an average value of 76.9 this is because students can collect, understand, and present relevant data clearly to support their claims (Rahayu et al., 2020). This shows that students understand a good conceptualization of the importance of data as the basis for argumentation and can use the data effectively to strengthen claims in the learning context. Based on the results of observations of students in the classroom on the implementation of the integrated generate argument model of local wisdom of the batimung tradition is at the stage of planting concepts, identifying problems, making questions, and dividing tasks into groups, students get a percentage of 83.3% with a very good category. This is in line with research conducted by Siswanto (2014) which states that planting concepts to students can be done through verbal disclosure by students such as understanding through discussions to understand the concepts taught.

3) Warrant

The indicator of warrant argumentation skills is a general and hypothetical logical statement and is used to connect with data/evidence (Hardini & Alberida, 2022). The quality of evidence is reviewed from the ability to explain the relationship between data and claims (Budiyono, 2016). In this case, mastery of the theory and concepts of the material taught is needed to explain the relationship between data and claims.

The guarantor argumentation skill indicator is still in the strong category with a value of 62.6, this is because students are still able to provide logical justification for linking data and claims (Rahayu et al., 2020). Students tend to provide reasons that are less specific or in-depth, so the connection between data and claims is not yet fully established. Students can understand how to make claims and use data but still have difficulty explaining why the data supports claims scientifically or logically (Rahman et al., 2018). 4) Backing

The backing argumentation skill indicator is a further statement that supports the warrant (Hardini & Alberida, 2022). In this indicator, students are asked to create support for underlying evidence that supports claims based on data (Handayani et al., 2015). The supporting indicator is in the sufficient category with an average score of 48.4 this is because students are not yet fully skilled in providing additional explanations or reasons that strengthen their guarantors. Students have not fully understood the role of backing as supporting guarantors and how to use scientific facts or theoretical principles to strengthen arguments (Setiawati, 2017).

The sufficient category in this indicator indicates that the ability of students is not fully optimal, so they still need further practice making arguments. According to Asriyani (2023) when students have not been able to provide backing, it means that their mastery of knowledge related to the problem given is still lacking, this shows that students' ability to provide backing is very dependent on mastery of the knowledge underlying the problem.

5) Rebuttal

The rebuttal indicator is a statement of refutation of other people's opinions that are still classified as low or not by the theory/facts (Hardini & Alberida, 2022). The rebuttal given must be based on relevant reasons and evidence so that it can be accepted by readers and discussion participants.

The results of data analysis on the rebuttal indicator written by students are still in the weak category with an average score of 36.4 this is because students still have difficulty understanding the arguments that need to be refuted and have difficulty finding relevant evidence or reasons to support their refutation (Hardini & Alberida, 2022). Learners have not been able to provide responses or arguments that anticipate potential weaknesses or alternative views to the claims submitted (Nurdin, 2017).

In line with research conducted by Sandhy (2018), the rebuttal indicator also shows the lowest percentage because most of the arguments made still do not contain elements of rebuttal and have not been able to respond to other opinions properly despite having claims, data, warrant, and backing.

When learning in class, students have been able to convey their rebuttals. The percentage obtained by students is 85% with a very good category. According to Siswanto (2014), when presenting arguments through group discussion activities, students in the experimental class looked more skillful in making claims, data, justification, support, and refutation. This result is based on the corrections made by the teacher during the learning process.

Students' argumentation skills in class discussions can appear better due to social interaction and direct correction from the teacher, but in written tests, a greater challenge arises because students have to construct their own arguments without direct help or feedback. In class discussions, students receive stimulus from teachers and peers that can provoke them to think and deliver rebuttals (Sutarto, 2023).

c. Student Response Questionnaire

Learning using the generate argument model integrated with the local wisdom of the batimung tradition is strengthened from the results of the student response questionnaire in Figure 1 on the ease of understanding the material, the use of learning models, student activeness, the use of LKPD, and student interest.

The positive response of students from the generate argument model integrated with the local wisdom of the batimung tradition on the indicator of the ease of understanding thermochemical material integrated with local wisdom in learning activities received a positive percentage of 78.9% in the very good category. This is because the teacher provides a stimulus in the form of a video of the local wisdom of the batimung tradition which is shown together in class. Through learning videos, students can receive material and understand learning material (Norma, 2021). Research conducted by Bua et al (2023) states that the ease of students in understanding learning material must be accompanied by examples that follow real life such as being associated with local wisdom.

The use of the generated argument learning model integrated with the local wisdom of the batimung tradition received a positive response from students with a percentage of 78.1% with a very good category. This is because argument-based learning is rarely used at MAN 1 Samarinda Plus Skills and Research. This is by research conducted by Fakhriyah et al (2021) which states that empowering argumentation in learning can develop student motivation in investigating the information they are looking for, daring to argue, and increasing conceptual understanding of the material they are learning.

According to Rahmayanti et al (2022) in the learning process, student activeness in asking questions is important because students can immediately find out information that students do not understand and by asking questions students can become more understanding in mastering the material provided by the teacher.

The development of education in this era of globalization has experienced a lot of shifts in good values replaced with unfavorable characteristics, especially at the middle and upper levels (Syaharuddin, 2017). After students can understand the material taught by the teacher, students are given assignments in groups to work on LKPD (Learner Worksheet). Positive student responses related to the use of LKPD in learning get a percentage of 74.4% in the good category. The teacher's ability to increase students' interest in learning is very important and has a big influence (Hakim & Amir, 2018). Learning that can attract students' interest will make students follow learning without laziness and students will find it easier to concentrate on learning (Nasution, 2020).

Students' interest in learning thermochemistry using the generate argument model integrated with the local wisdom of the batimung tradition received a positive response of 83.2% in the very good category. Students' interest in this learning is due to the discussion that is relevant to everyday life, especially the local tradition of batimung, thus making the material easier to understand and meaningful. According to Annisha (2024), the integration of the use of local wisdom into the learning process can increase the relevance of material to students' lives, enrich cultural insights, and build awareness of traditional values.

## **D. CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS**

Based on the results of data analysis, this study can be concluded that the results of the t test show a significance value of 0.000 <0.05, which indicates that there is an effect of the application of the generate argument model integrated with the local wisdom of the batimung tradition on the argumentation skills of XI MIPA class students at MAN 1 Samarinda Plus Skills and Research. Based on the research that has been done, there are still low indicators of argumentation skills such as backing and rebuttal. It is hoped that for further research to be able to increase the two indicators that are still low.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The researcher would like to thank the Principal and Chemistry Teacher of MAN 1 Samarinda Plus Skills and Research for the support and facilities provided in this research. The contributions and cooperation provided were very valuable and helped the smooth completion of this research.

## REFERENCES

- Abraham, I., & Supriyati, Y. (2022). Desain Kuasi Eksperimen dalam Pendidikan: Literatur Review. *Jurnal Ilmiah Mandala Education*, 8(3), 2476–2482.
- Andriana, T. (2024). Pembelajaran Pai Berbasis Kearifan Lokal. Analysis, 2(2), 214-221.
- Annisha, D. (2024). Integrasi Penggunaan Kearifan Lokal (Local Wisdom) dalam Proses Pembelajaran pada Konsep Kurikulum Merdeka Belajar. *Jurnal Basicedu*, 8(3), 2108-2115.
- Asriyani, I. D., Masitah, M., & Nasution, R. (2023). Analisis Level Kemampuan Argumentasi Secara Tertulis Siswa SMP Negeri 11 Samarinda Pada Materi Pencemaran Lingkungan. *In Prosiding Seminar Nasional PPG Universitas Mulawarman*, 111-118.
- Bua, M. T., & Saputra, A. (2023). Pengembangan Modul Pembelajaran Berbasis Kearifan Lokal pada Mata Kuliah Keterampilan Menulis dan Membaca SD. Jurnal Pemikiran dan Pengembangan Sekolah Dasar (JP2SD), 11(2), 196-208.

Budiyono. (2016). Pengaruh Penerapan Model

Pembelajaran Argument Based Science Inquiry (ABSI) terhadap Peningkatan Kemampuan Berargumentasi. *Jurnal Pemikiran Penelitian Pendidikan dan Sains*, 4(1), 84–93.

- Candra, E., Mulvia, R., & Warliani, R. (2024). Argumentasi Ilmiah Siswa pada Pembelajaran Fisika Berbasis Mobile Learning dengan Video Presentasi. *JURNAL PENDIDIKAN MIPA*, 14(4), 861-871.
- Dame, K. T., Erika, F., & Masruhim, A. (2023). Predict Observe Explain Learning Model Based on Indigenous Knowledge to Increase Students Argumentation Skills on Redox Reaction Material. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 030007-1-030007-6.
- Devi, N. D. C., Susanti VH, E., & Indriyanti, N. Y. (2018). Analysis of High School Students' Argumentation Ability in the topic of Buffer Solution. *JKPK (Jurnal Kimia Dan Pendidikan Kimia)*, *3*(3), 152–159.
- Dewantari, T., Hasnunidah, N., & Maulina, D. (2022). Kajian Kemampuan Argumentasi Siswa pada Materi Pokok Animalia Melalui Pendekatan Saintifik di SMA dengan Peringkat Akreditasi yang Berbeda. *BIOEDUSAINS :Jurnal Pendidikan Biologi Dan Sains*, 5(1),267–278.
- Dewina, S., Suganda, O., & Widiantie, R. (2017). Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran Problem Based Learning (PBL) Terhadap Kemampuan Menganalisis dan Keterampilan Berargumentasi Siswa Pada Konsep Pencemaran Lingkungan Di Kelas X. Quagga : Jurnal Pendidikan Dan Biologi, 9(2), 46–54.
- Dianti, P., Sunandar, A., & Setiadi, A. E. (2023). Analisis Penguasaan Konsep dan Kemampuan Berargumentasi Siswa Dengan Model Argument Driven Inquiry Berbasis Socio-Scientific Issue. *Qalam: Jurnal Ilmu Kependidikan*, 12(2), 1-14.
- Fadli, M. (2024). Metode Penelitian Kombinasi. Metode Penelitian Kombinasi (Mixed Method), 44.
- Fakhriyah, F., Rusilowati, A., Nugroho, S. E., & Saptono, S. (2021). Mengembangkan Kemampuan Argumentasi Ilmiah Calon Guru Sekolah Dasar Sebagai Bentuk Penguatan Keterampilan Abad 21. *In Prosiding Seminar Nasional Pascasarjana* 4(1), 190-194).
- Fatmawati, D. R., Harlita, & Ramli, M. (2018). Meningkatkan Kemampuan Argumentasi Siswa melalui Action Research dengan Fokus Tindakan Think Pair Share. *Proceedings Biology Education Conference*, 15(1), 253–259.
- Hadirah, H. (2022). Analisis Hukum Islam tentang Tradisi Batimung Dalam Pernikahan Adat Banjar Di Kusan Hilir Kabupaten Tanah Bumbu Analisis Hukum Islam (Doctoral dissertation, IAIN Parepare).
- Hakim, A., & Amir, S. (2018). Pengaruh Perasaan, Ketertarikan Dan Keterlibatan Terhadap Minat Belajar Mata Pelajaran Pendidikan Kewarganegaraan Peserta Didik Pada SMA Paba Binjai. Visipena, 9(2), 406-426.
- Handayani, P. (2015). Analisis Argumentasi Peserta Didik Kelas X Sma Muhammadiyah 1 Palembang dengan Menggunakan Model Argumentasi Toulmin. *Jurnal Inovasi dan Pembelajaran Fisika*, 2(1), 60-68.
- Hardini, S. D., & Alberida, H. (2022). Analisis Kemampuan Argumentasi Peserta Didik. *Biodidaktika: Jurnal Biologi Dan Pembelajarannya*, 17(1), 93–99.

- Hendri, S., & Defianti, A. (2015). Membentuk Keterampilan Argumentasi Siswa Melalui Isu Sosial Ilmiah dalam Pembelajaran Sains. *Prosiding Simposium Inovasi dan Pembelajaran Sains*, 545–548.
- Jayanti, A., Nor, M., & Rahmad, M. (2018). The Implementation of Generate Argument Instruction Model on Optical Material to Improve Students Argumentation Skills in Smp 20 Pekanbaru Grade VIII. Jurnal Geliga Sains: Jurnal Pendidikan Fisika, 6(1), 19–25.
- Mardianto, Y., Azis, L. A., & Amelia, R. (2022). Menganalisis Respon Siswa terhadap Pembelajaran Materi Perbandingan dan Skala Menggunakan Pendekatan Kontekstual. *Jurnal Pembelajaran Matematika Inovatif*, 5(5), 1313–1322
- Musdiani. (2019). Analisis Model Pembelajaran terhadap Cara Mengajar Guru untuk Meningkatkan Minat Belajar Siswa pada Materi Penggolongan Hewan di Kelas V SD Pante Cermin. *Jurnal Tunas Bangsa*, 6(1), 60–68.
- Nasution, A. K. P. (2020). Integrasi Media Sosial Dalam Pembelajaran Generasi Z. *Jurnal Teknologi Informasi Dan Pendidikan*, 13(1), 80-86.
- Nurdin, S. I. A. (2017). Pengembangan Performance Assessmentberbasis Inkuiri Terbimbing Untuk Mengukur Keterampilan Argumentasi Siswa (Doctoral dissertation, Universitas Lampung).
- Nomizar, R., Amir, H., & Rohiat, S. (2023). Pengembangan E-Modul Interaktif Pada Materi Termokimia di SMA Negeri 3 Kota Bengkulu. ALOTROP, 7(1), 17-25.
- Norma, N. (2021). Meningkatkan Hasil Belajar Peserta Didik Melalui Media Video Pembelajaran Pada Pembelajaran Jarak Jauh di Masa Pandemi COVID-19. SOCIAL: Jurnal Inovasi Pendidikan IPS, 1(2), 101-115.
- Pasaribu, O. L. (2020). Analisis Kemampuan Memahami Isi Paragraf Argumentasi Pada Siswa Kelas VIII SMP Karya Bunda Medan. *Bahterasia: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Bahasa dan Sastra Indonesia*, 1(2), 95-100.
- Rahayu, Y., Suhendar, S., & Ratnasari, J. (2020). Keterampilan Argumentasi Siswa Pada Materi Sistem Gerak SMA Negeri Kabupaten Sukabumi-Indonesia. *Biodik: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Biologi*, 6(3), 312– 318.
- Rahman, A., Munandar, S. A., Fitriani, A., Karlina, Y., & Yumriani. (2022). Pengertian Pendidikan, Ilmu Pendidikan dan Unsur-Unsur Pendidikan. *Al Urwatul Wutsqa: Kajian Pendidikan Islam*, 2(1), 1–8.
- Rahmatih, A. N., Maulyda, M. A., & Syazali, M. (2020). Refleksi Nilai Kearifan Lokal (Local Wisdom) Dalam Pembelajaran Sains Sekolah Dasar: Literature Review. *Jurnal Pijar Mip*a, 15(2), 151-156.
- Rahmayanti, D., Supriyanto, D. H., & Khusniyah, T. W. (2022). Pengaruh Keaktifan Bertanya Siswa Terhadap Hasil Belajar Siswa Sekolah Dasar. Jurnal Holistika, 6(1), 34-40.
- Ramadhan, A., Erika, F., & Lestari, S. (2022). Desain dan Uji Validitas LKPD Berbasis Keterampilan ArgumentasiArgumentasi dengan Pendekatan STEM Pada Materi Larutan Penyangga. Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan Kimia: Kajian Hasil Penelitian Pendidikan Kimia, 9(2), 183–191.

- Ramdani, E. (2018). Model pembelajaran kontekstual berbasis kearifan lokal sebagai penguatan pendidikan karakter. JUPIIS: Jurnal Pendidikan Ilmu-Ilmu Sosial, 10(1), 1-10.
- Roghdah, S. J., Zammi, M., & Mardhiya, J. (2021). Development of Four-Tier Multiple Choice Diagnostic Test to Determine Students' Concept Understanding Level On Thermochemical Material. *Phenomenon : Jurnal Pendidikan MIPA*, 11(1), 57–74.
- Sa'adah, N. S. (2023). Pengembangan Lembar Kerja Peserta Didik Elektronik (E-LKPD) Berbasis Kearifan Lokal Tradisi Batimung pada Materi Termokimia Kelas XI di SMAN 1 Kotabaru. Skripsi: Universitas Islam Negeri Antasari Banjarmasin.
- Saefuddin, & Maryadi, S. (2018). Batimung Healing Tradition of the Banjarese and Dayak Meratus Community in South Kalimantan. *Naditira Widya*, *12*(2), 147–158.
- Sandhy, A. K. (2018). Pengaruh Model Inkuiri untuk Meningkatkan Keterampilan Argumentasi Peserta Didik Terhadap Materi Getaran dan Gelombang. *Jurnal Pendidikan dan Pembelajaran Khatulistiwa*, 7(10), 1–9.
- Setiawati, I., & Nurlaelah, I. (2017). Analisis Profil Kemampuan Berargumentasi Guru dan Mahasiswa Calon Guru Dalam Pembelajaran Biologi Menggunakan Model Toulmin' S Argumen Pattern (Tap) dan Upaya Perbaikannya. Quagga: Jurnal Pendidikan dan Biologi, 9(01).
- Setiawan, A., Sujiwo, D. A. C., & Panglipur, I. R. (2024). Analisis Kemandirian Belajar Siswa Dalam Pembelajaran Matematika Ditinjau Dari Gender. Prismatika: Jurnal Pendidikan dan Riset Matematika, 7(1), 178-191.
- Shufa, N. K. F. (2018). Pembelajaran Berbasis Kearifan Lokal di Sekolah Dasar: Sebuah Kerangka Konseptual. Inopendas: Jurnal Ilmiah Kependidikan, 1(1), 48–53.
- Siagian, D. P., & Yasthopi, A. (2021). Desain dan Uji Coba Media Pembelajaran Berorientasi Everyday Life Phenomena pada Materi Termokimia. *JRPK: Jurnal Riset Pendidikan Kimia*, 11(2), 64–73.
- Sintia, I., Pasarella, M. D., & Nohe, D. A. (2022). Perbandingan Tingkat Konsistensi Uji Distribusi Normalitas Pada Kasus Tingkat Pengangguran di Jawa. In *Prosiding Seminar Nasional Matematika dan Statistika*, 322-333.
- Siswanto, Kaniawati, I., & Suhadi, A. (2014). Implementation of Generate Argument Instructional Model Using Scientific Method to Increase the Cognitive Abilities and Argumentation Skills of Senior High School Students. *Jurnal Pendidikan Fisika Indonesia*, *10*(2), 104–116.
- Sormin, B. (2018). Pembelajaran Menulis Teks Argumentasi Berbasis Kearifan Lokal yang Berorientasi Pendidikan Karakter pada Siswa Kelas VIII. Jurnal Edukasi Kultura: Jurnal Bahasa, Sastra dan Budaya, 5(1), 1–5.
- Sukestiyarno, Y. L., & Agoestanto, A. (2017). Batasan Prasyarat Uji Normalitas dan Uji Homogenitas Pada Model Regresi Linear. *Unnes Journal of Mathematics*, 6(2), 168-177.

Sulastri, H. P., Irvani, A. I., & Warliani, R. (2024).

#### 226 | Paedagoria : Jurnal Kajian, Penelitian dan Pengembangan Kependidikan | Vol. 16, No. 2, April 2025, hal. 217-226

Pengembangan Modul Digital Fisika Berbasis Project Based Learning (Pjbl) Dalam Meningkatkan Minat Belajar Peserta Didik. OPTIKA: Jurnal Pendidikan Fisika, 8(1), 97-111.

- Sutarto, S. (2023). Strategi Guru Untuk Meningkatkan Keterampilan 4c's (Kolaborasi, Komunikasi, Berpikir Kritis Dan Kreatif) Dalam Pembelajaran Pendidikan Agama Islam. *JPPI (Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan Indonesia*), 9(3), 1543-1552.
- Suwaryaningrat, N. D. E. (2023). Analisis Teknik Observasi Dalam Pembelajaran Pendidikan Anak Usia Dini. *Jurnal Ilmiah Wahana Pendidikan*, 9(24), 1011-1015.
- Syahrudin, D. A., & Suryoko, S. (2017). Pengaruh Brand Image dan Kualitas Produk terhadap Pengambilan Keputusan Smartphone Samsung. *Jurnal Ilmu Administrasi Bisnis*, 6(3), 47-53.
- Syarifuddin, H. (2024). Penerapan Media Papan Interaktif Dalam Meningkatkan Minat Belajar Peserta Didik Pada Mata Pelajaran Teks Argumentasi di SMKN 3 Gowa. Didaktik: Jurnal Ilmiah PGSD STKIP Subang, 10(3), 519-530.
- Tabroni, T., Syukur, M., & Indrayani, I. (2022). Penerapan Model Pembelajaran Problem Based Learning untuk Meningkatkan Hasil Belajar Siswa pada Mata Pelajaran Ilmu Pengetahuan Sosial Materi Bentuk-Bentuk Mobilitas Sosial Kelas VIII-B SMP Negeri 4 Rokan IV Koto Kab. Rokan Hulu Riau. Jurnal Pemikiran dan Pengembangan Pembelajaran (JP-3), 4(2), 261-266.
- Trisnayanti, W. R. (2017). Efektifitas Implementasi Aktivitas Mengkomunikasikan Berbasis Kepala Bernomor Dalam Meningkatkan Dimensi Proses Kognitif. International Journal of Elementary Education, 1(3), 219–227.
- Tuerah, M. S. R., & Tuerah, J. M. (2023). Kurikulum Merdeka dalam Perspektif Kajian Teori: Analisis Kebijakan untuk Peningkatan Kualitas Pembelajaran di Sekolah. *Jurnal Ilmiah Wahana Pendidikan, Oktober, 9*(19), 979–988.
- Usmadi, U. (2020). Pengujian Persyaratan Analisis (Uji Homogenitas dan Uji Normalitas). *Inovasi Pendidikan*, 7(1), 50-62.
- Wahyuni, D., Muntari, M., & Anwar, Y. A. S. (2022). Analisis Kemampuan Berpikir Logis Siswa Kelas XI MIPA SMA Negeri di Praya Selama Pembelajaran Daring. Chemistry Education Practice, 5(1), 10-16.
- Wulandari, A. (2022). Analisis Kemandirian Belajar Siswa Pada Pembelajaran Matematika. Journal of Mathematics Learning Innovation (JMLI)202, 1(2), 151–162.